

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_87760
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBIOGRAM AND DETECTION OF METALLO- β -LACTAMASE PRODUCING <i>Escherichia coli</i> ISOLATED FROM COW DUNG IN OWO METROPOLIS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1- There are too many old references in the text and these should be updated with the most recent ones lasting no more than 10 years.2- The authors should ensure the proofreading of their manuscript.3- I do not understand why many words are written sometimes starting with upper cases and with lower cases.4- Kindly provide space between values and their units.5- The authors should describe the antimicrobial susceptibility test. It is missing in the methodology section.6- Kindly specify conditions of inoculum storage.7- Delete "Results and Discussion" since these sections are not combined in the manuscript.8- Why are tables inserted in the discussion? Kindly send them in the appropriate section.9- The Antibiotypes of the MBL-producing <i>E. coli</i>..."hence they were multidrug resistance". Something is wrong in the section in quotation marks. Kindly reformulate. Also, see comment N°3 for "Antibiotypes".10- Avoid repeating results in the discussion section.11- There are too many reference styles in this section as well as mistakes. Kindly check your references for uniformity and conformity with authors' guidelines.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> YES. The authors should provide thical approval since hey used animals in the study.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Afagnigni Alian Désiré
Department, University & Country	University of Yaounde I, Cameroon