

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_90201
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect Of Organic Management on Growth, Yield and Economics of Greengram, Pigeon Pea and Sunflower Under Rainfed Conditions
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Authors should be added first line regarding the background of the study, and should be included some major findings and last sentence, of course, added for policymakers.</li><li>2. Authors should include a sub-section of agronomic practice for the three crops.</li><li>3. main findings should be represented by some charts.</li><li>4. Authors should included a sub-section for organic management effect on the growth and yield productivity of crops.</li><li>5. Conclusion sections have some major findings, in terms of numeric value rather than descriptive way.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Authors should read the whole manuscript several times after correcting the comments below section-wise with full concentration. And then, the whole manuscript needs to refine/rewrite/reorganize sentences to maintain the sentence consistency and subsequently easy understanding for scientific readership from the preceding sentence to the running sentence.</li><li>2. Authors should check the grammatical errors several times.</li><li>2. References should be checked for improvement with the currently published article.</li></ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md Mashiur Rahman
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Bangladesh