

Original Research Article

**INFLUENCE OF PRESOWING SEED TREATMENTS WITH ORGANIC  
MANURES AND BOTANICALS ON PLANT GROWTH, YIELD AND YIELD  
ATTRIBUTING TRAITS OF YELLOW MUSTARD (*Sinapis alba*)**

**Variety (ISP -186)**

## ABSTRACT

The present investigation was conducted during *Rabi* season in the year (2021-2022) at post graduate Central Research Farm, Department of Genetics and plant Breeding , Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology And Sciences, PRAYAGRAJ-211007 (U.P) with a goal to evaluate the **INFLUENCE OF PRESOWING SEED TREATMENTS WITH ORGANIC MANURES AND BOTANICALS ON PLANT GROWTH, YIELD AND YIELD ATTRIBUTING TRAITS OF YELLOW MUSTARD (*Sinapis alba*) Variety (ISP -186)** and to find out suitable seed priming method for mustard under Randomized Block Design with 13 priming treatments which are replicated thrice. The results revealed that treatment T<sub>6</sub> Jeevamruth (7%) has recorded maximum Rate of emergence(97.52), plant height (131.07cm) ,Number of Branches (13.60),Days to 50% flowering(40.36) , Days to Maturity(99), Number of silique/plant (83.45), Number of seeds/silique (13.47), seed yield /plant (4.73),seed yield /plot(56.44), Biological yield (250.67),Harvest index (41.00).significance mean sum of squares due to seed priming treatments were observed for all the characters under study viz., Field emergence percentage, Plant height (30, 60, 90 DAS), Number of branches/plant, Days to maturity, Number of silique/plant, Number of seeds/plant, seed yield/plant (gm), Seed yield/plot (gm), Biological yield (gm), Harvest index (%) which were highly significant at 5% level of significance indicating presence of good amount of variability among the treatments for these characters.

**Key words: Panchagavya, Jeevamruth, Beejamruth, Neem leaf extract.**

## INTRODUCTION:

Among various oilseed crops grown in India, rapeseed-mustard group of crops (*Brassica spp.*, Family Brassicaceae) comprising Indian rape (toria), Indian mustard (raya), oilseed rape (gobhisarson), Ethiopian mustard (African sarson), yellow sarson, brown sarson and taramira, are next to soybean in terms of area and production. Cultivation of these crops in 28 states of the country under diverse agro-ecological situations over an area of 6.51 million hectares to produce 8.18 million tonnes signifies its importance in vegetable oil scenario of the country (**FAO 2012**). In India and Asian countries, mustard is popularly used in cooking. India is number one in production of mustard oil. Mustard gives edible oil which is used as cooking. In World over Mustard seed is used as condiment in the preparation of vegetable and curries. Split mustard seed and oil is used for pickling. The leaves of the young plants are used as vegetable. It can be used as oil cake to feed cattle.

Mustard is an important Rabi oilseed crop of India. It occupies about 24.70 percent of area and 48.28 percent of production of the total oilseed production in India. Its area, production and productivity in the country is 5.43 M ha, 6.41 M tones and 1159 kg/ha, respectively. In Rajasthan state the total area under mustard cultivation is 2.84 M. ha with the estimated production of 3.5 MT and average productivity of mustard in the state is 1234 kg/ha. So far as concerned with the Jhunjhunu district of Rajasthan, total area under mustard cultivation is 78.0 thousand hectares with the productivity of 1308kg/ha.

Panchagavya is known to boost immunity and promote plant growth. Cow dung and cow urine are the key ingredients of the preparation. It is usually mixed with water and is used to irrigate the fields. It can also be used as spray. According to Hindu dharma, Panchagavya has high significance. It can be used as an Ayurvedic medicine and it has good potential as an organic fertilizer and pesticide (**Dharma et al. 2005; Kumar, 2005**). Panchagavya also contains many vitamins, amino acids. It contains gibberlins and auxins which regulate the growth of plants.

Jeevamruth is low cost improvised preparation that enriches the soil with indigenous microorganism required for mineralization from native cow dung, cow urine, horse gram and jaggery (Gore,N.S. and Sreenivasa M.N.,2011). Jeevamruth serves as the rich source of micro organisms that fix nitrogen, solubilize phosphorous, also rich in source of of carbon, potassium, nitrogen and many micro nutrients (**Devakumar et al.,2014, Sreenivasa, M.N. et al.,2010**) Jeevamruth is applied to plants, the microorganism present in the jeevamruth

improves soil quality and helps plants to absorb nutrients present in the soil faster and improves plant growth and production.

Beejamruth, a mix of cow dung, cow urine, water, lime, and a handful of soil has been given importance in sustainable agriculture since age old days. It is also one such organic known to protect the crop from harmful soil borne and seed borne pathogens (**Vyankatrao *et al.*, 2019**)

The neem extract increased shoot height, number of leaves, number of buds and number of flowers. Mainly green neem leaves and water are the key ingredients of the preparation. This leaf extract can be used for nursery and kitchen gardens. Neem extract was found as most effective agent in controlling leaf spot (82.33%) and wilt (41.34%) in comparison to control under field conditions (**Prakash *et al.*, 2019**) Neem leaf extract acts as a potential source for the management of severe diseases in addition to its positive effects on growth parameters.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS:

The present investigation was carried out at Field Experimentation Centre of the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.) during *Rabi 2021 - 2022*. The details material and methods used for the Influence of Pre sowing seed treatments with Organic Manures and Botanicals on Plant growth, Yield and Yield Attributing traits of Yellow Mustard (*Sinapis alba*) Variety – ISP – 186 was made to identify the effect of seed priming of different kinds on seed quality parameters of mustard and to find suitable seed priming method for mustard. For this purpose , 13 priming treatments including control on yellow mustard seed variety ISP- 186 used to study under field conditions during , Rabi 2021-2022. The treatments were T0- Control, T1- Panchagavya (3%), T2- Panchagavya (5%), T3- Panchagavya (7%), T4- Jeevamruth (3%), T5- Jeevamruth (5%), T6- Jeevamruth (7%), T7- Beejamruth (3%), T8- Beejamruth (5%), T9- Beejamruth (7%), T10- Neem leaf extract (3%), T11- Neem leaf extract (5%), T12- Neem leaf extract (7%) The mustard seeds were primed with above different priming agents in above different concentrations and intensities for a given duration. After priming seeds were dried to initial moisture content at room temperature. After that the primed seeds were used to grow under field conditions. Field experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications during Rabi 2021-22. Data were recorded for 11 characters i.e.

**Pre-harvest characters viz.,** Field emergence percentage, Plant height (30, 60, 90), number of branches (30, 60, 90), 50% of flowering and Days to maturity.

**Post-harvest characters viz.,** number of silique/plant, number of seeds/silique, seed yield/plant (gm), seed yield/plot (gm), biological yield (gm), harvest index (%). Analysis of variance (Table-1.1) for the data revealed that significance mean sum of squares due to seed priming treatments were observed for all the characters under study viz., Field emergence percentage, Plant height (30, 60, 90), number of branches (30, 60, 90), 50% of flowering and Days to maturity, number of silique/plant, number of seeds/silique, seed yield/plant (gm), seed yield/plot (gm), biological yield (gm), harvest index (%). which were highly significant at 5% level of significance indicating presence of good amount of variability among the treatments for these characters.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION GROWTH ATTRIBUTES:

Seed priming is a technique to reduce emergence time, accomplish uniform emergence time, better allometric (changes in growth of plant parts over time) attributes and provide requisite stand in many horticultural and field crops. Various pre-hydration or priming treatments have been employed to increase the speed and synchrony of seed germination. The treatments showed significant effect of pre-sowing seed treatment on Field emergence. The mean performance of field emergence ranged from 84.62 % to 97.52 % with the mean value of 91.52 %. The minimum field emergence was exhibited by treatment T0 [control] (84.62 %), while maximum field emergence was recorded in treatment T6 - Jeevamruth - 7 % (97.52) followed by T9 - Beejamruth 7% (94.32) and T12 - Neem leaf extract 7% (92.80) were significantly higher than other significant treatments. plant height at 90 DAS. The mean performance of plant height at 90 DAS ranged from 111.97 cm to 131.07cm with the mean value of 123.19 cm. The minimum plant height was exhibited by treatment T0 - control (111.97 cm), while maximum plant height was recorded for treatment T6- Jeevamruth -7% (131.07 cm) followed by T9 - Beegamruth 7% (129.07 cm), T3 - Panchagavya 7% (125.20cm), T5 - Jeevamruth 5% (124.27 cm), T12 - Neem leaf extract 7% (123.93 cm), T11 - Neem leaf extract 5% (123.19 cm), T4 - Jeevamruth 3% (122.97cm), T2 - Panchagavya 5% (122.37 cm), T1 - Panchagavya 3% (121.93), T10 - Neem leaf extract 3% (121.53 cm), were at par to each other. The treatments showed significant effect of pre-sowing seed treatment on number of branches at 90 DAS. The mean performance of number of branches at 90 DAS ranged from 9.00 to 13.60 with the mean value of 11.46. The minimum plant height was exhibited by treatment T0 - control (9.00), while maximum plant height was recorded for treatment T6- Jeevamruth 7% (13.60) followed by T9 - Beejamruth - 7% (12.83) and T5 - Jeevamruth - 5% (12.77) were at par to each other. The minimum 50% flowering was exhibited by treatment T6 - Jeevamruth (40.36), while maximum 50% flowering was recorded for treatment T0 - control (47.75) followed by T10 - Neem leaf extract 3% (45.44), T4 - Jeevamruth 3% (44.86), T1 - Panchagavya 3% (44.61), T3 - Panchagavya 5% (44.56), T7 - Beejamruth 3% (43.89) was at par to each other (CD 5%=2.68). The use of botanical extracts recorded less days to produce 50% flowers when compared to control. Janardhan (2014) also noted that

treatment with botanical extract including neem leaf extract taken 2-3 days less from control to flowering. The minimum Days to maturity was exhibited by treatment T6 – Jeevamruth 7% (99.51), while maximum Days to maturity was recorded for treatment T0 - control (118.56) followed by T7 – Beejamruth 3% (118.18) was significantly higher in comparison to other treatments.

**Table 1.1 A: Mean performance of different treatments for pre harvest characters in yellow mustard (*Sinapis alba*) CV. ISP-186**

Treatment Symbols	Treatments	Field Emergence(%)	PH DAS	No.of branches	50% flowering	Days to maturity
T0	Control	84.62	111.97	9.00	47.75	118.56
T1	Panchagavya (3%)	91.32	121.93	9.23	44.61	105.73
T2	Panchagavya (5%)	93.14	122.93	10.34	44.56	102.66
T3	Panchagavya (7%)	95.40	122.37	11.30	44.01	101.63
T4	Jeevamruth (3%)	88.41	125.20	11.97	44.86	111.67
T5	Jeevamruth (5%)	89.64	122.97	12.77	42.46	109.42
T6	Jeevamruth (7%)	97.52	124.27	13.60	40.36	99.51
T7	Beejamruth (3%)	91.55	131.07	11.63	43.89	118.18
T8	Beejamruth (5%)	92.10	119.37	11.93	42.43	111.96
T9	Beejamruth (7%)	94.32	120.63	12.83	40.80	107.96
T10	Neem leaf extract (3%)	88.71	129.07	10.40	45.44	113.69
T11	Neem leaf extract (5%)	91.82	121.53	11.40	42.75	109.37
T12	Neem leaf extract (7%)	92.80	123.93	12.60	41.01	101.93
	C.V.	2.16	1.35	1.97	3.64	2.50
	S.E.	1.14	0.96	0.13	0.92	1.57
	C.D.5%	3.34	2.80	0.38	2.68	4.58
	C.D.1%	4.52	3.79	0.52	3.63	6.21



**Table 1.2.A: Mean performance of different treatments for postharvest characters in yellow mustard (*Sinapis alba*)**

Treatment symbols	Treatments	No.of silique/plant	No.of seeds/silique	Seed yield/plant (gm)	Seed yield/plo t (gm)	Biological yield (gm)	Harvet index (gm)
T0	Control	40.91	10.53	3.09	40.21	182.00	16.84
T1	Panchagavya (3%)	63.64	11.46	3.17	40.63	187.53	21.70
T2	Panchagavya (5%)	65.44	11.73	3.40	41.95	192.57	21.80
T3	Panchagavya (7%)	68.36	11.86	3.54	43.10	195.33	21.84
T4	Jeevamruth (3%)	68.05	12.47	3.33	43.60	204.87	23.49
T5	Jeevamruth (5%)	68.54	12.53	3.60	45.32	210.80	24.20
T6	Jeevamruth (7%)	83.45	13.47	4.73	56.44	250.67	41.00
T7	Beejamruth (3%)	65.04	11.67	3.25	40.37	196.17	18.40
T8	Beejamruth (5%)	66.55	12.07	3.36	44.36	198.83	22.56
T9	Beejamruth (7%)	75.88	12.67	4.24	47.34	221.83	26.77
T10	Neem leaf extract (3%)	62.85	11.53	3.21	40.34	202.93	17.83
T11	Neem leaf extract (5%)	66.71	12.06	3.42	43.33	206.23	21.96
T12	Neem leaf extract (7%)	68.03	12.33	4.08	44.14	212.97	25.90
	C.V.	3.50	1.83	10.88	5.55	6.21	11.69
	S.E.	1.35	0.13	0.22	1.41	7.34	1.58
	C.D.5%	3.93	0.37	0.65	4.13	21.42	4.61
	C.D.1%	5.33	0.50	0.89	5.59	29.03	6.25

## YIELD ATTRIBUTES:

The mean performance of number of silique/plant ranged from 40.91 to 83.45 with a grand mean of 66.71. Among the significant treatments, T6 – Jeevamruth 7% (83.45) and T9 - Beejamruth 7% (75.88) and T5 – Jeevamruth 5% (68.54) T3 – Panchagavya 7% (68.36), T12 – Neem leaf extract 7% (68.03), T4 – Jeevamruth 3% (68.05), T11- Neem leaf extract 5% (66.71), T8 - Beejamruth 5% (66.55), T2- Panchagavya 5% (65.44) were significantly higher than other significant treatments. Number of seeds/silique were significantly higher in treatments, T6 – Jeevamruth 7% (13.47) and T9 - Beejamruth 7% (12.67). In treatments T6– Jeevamruth 7% (4.73) and T9 – Beejamruth 7% (4.24), T12 – Neem leaf extract 7% (4.08) and T3 – Panchagavya 7% (3.54) were significantly higher than other significant treatments in seed yield/plant. The effect of pre-sowing seed priming on seed yield per plant was found to be significant and similar results of seed yield per plant was observed by Somasundaran et al., The mean performance of number of seed yield/plot ranged from 40.21 to 56.44 with a grand mean of 44.14. Among the significant treatments, T6 – Jeevamruth 7% (56.44) and T9 - Beejamruth 7% (47.34), T5 – Jeevamruth 5% (45.32) and T – Jeevamruth 3% (43.60) were significantly higher than other significant treatments. The mean performance of biological yield ranged from 182 to 250.67 with a grand mean of 204.83 . Among the significant treatments, T6- Jeevamruth 7% (250.67), T9- Beejamruth 7% (221.83), T12– Neem leaf extract 7% (212.97), T5- Jeevamruth 5% (210.80), T8- Beejamruth 5% (198.83), were significantly higher than other significant treatments. The mean performance of harvest index ranged from 16.84 to 41.00 with a grand mean of 23.41. Among the significant treatments, T1- Panchagavya (3%), T2- Panchagavya (5%), T3 - Panchagavya (7%), T4- Jeevamruth (3%), T5- Jeevamruth (5%), T7- Beejamruth (5%), T8- Beejamruth (7%), T9- Beejamruth (7%), T10 - Neem leaf extract (3%), T11- Neem leaf extract (5%), T12- Neem leaf extract (7%). Where significantly higher with T6 – Jeevamruth (7%).

## CONCLUSION:

It is concluded from the present study that the seeds of yellow mustard (Variety- ISP 186) were treated with Jeevamruth – 7% (T6) showed significant increase in growth, yield and yield attributing traits followed by Beejamruth – 7% (T9) as compared to control (untreated) seeds. These recommendations are based on six months experimentation and therefore further investigation is needed to arrive at valid recommendation.

## REFERENCES :

- Abbasdokht, H. (2011).** The effect of hydropriming and halopriming on germination and early growth stage of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *DESERT* **16**: 61-68.
- Akshay Kunghatkar. L., Chaurasia. A. K., Bineeta. M. Bara., and Surya Prakash Meena. (2018).** Influence of seed hardening techniques on vigour, growth and yield in chickpea [*Cicer arietinum* (L.)]. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2018; 7(7): 528-531
- Ananthi E.C. (2008).** Response of COH(M) 5 maize hybrid and its parents to seed priming. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.
- Ananthi, M., Sasthri, G and Srimathi, P. (2013)** Influence of pre-sowing seed management techniques on initial seed quality parameters in greengram cv. Co 6 at laboratory condition.
- Azam, M. J., Muhammad, J. A., Ahammadh, K. U., Gulanzar, M. A. and Islam M. (2011).** Efficacy of different doses of zinc on growth, productivity and economic returns of mustard in AEZ11 of Banglaesh. *Journal of environmental sciences and natural sciences*.6(1):37-40.
- Bansal, S. K. and Trehan, S.P. (2011).** Effect of potassium on yield and processing quality attributes of potato. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.* 24(1): 48-54.
- Bayer, 2010.** Bayer Sequence Genome of Canola. Bayer Crop Science: The Bio-energy Site, Retrieved 8 November, 2010.
- Bybordi, A. & Mamedov, G. (2010).** Evaluation of application methods efficiency of zinc and iron for canola (*Brassica napus* L.). *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*, 2(1), 94-103.
- Cakmak, I. (2008).** Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: Agronomic or genetic biofortification? *Plant and Soil*, 302, 1-17.

- Cara, A. F., Sanchez, E., Ruiz, J. M., Rornero, L. (2002).** Is phenol oxidation responsible for the short-term effects of boron deficiency on plasma membrane permeability and function in squash roots? *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, **40**, 853- 858.
- Das, K., Dang, R., Shivananda, T. N., Sur, P. (2005).** Interaction between phosphorus and zinc on the biomass yield and yield attributes of the medicinal plant stevia (*Stevia rebaudiana*). *Science World Journal*, **5**, 390–395.
- Erdal, I., K. Kepenek and I. Kızılgöz, (2006).** Effect of elemental sulphur and sulphur containing waste on the iron nutrition of strawberry plants grown in a calcareous soil. *Biol. Agric. Hort.*, 23: 263-272.
- Fang, Y., Wang, L., Xin, Z., Zhao, L., An, X., Hu, Q. (2008).** Effect of foliar application of zinc, selenium, and iron fertilizers on nutrients concentration and yield of rice grain in China. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*, **56**, 2079–2084.
- Fismesa, J., P.C. Vong, A. Guckert, E. Frossard, 2000.** Influence of sulfur on apparent N-use efficiency, yield and quality of oilseed rape (*Brassica napus L.*) grown on a calcareous soil. *Eur J Agron.*, **12**(2): 127-141.
- Geetha, R. and Selvakumari, A. (2007)** Effect of seed hardening and pelleting in sorghum under rainfed condition. *Inter. J. Pl. Sci.*, **3**(2): 610-612.
- Hacisalihoglu, G. and Kochian, L.V. (2003).** How do some plants tolerate low levels of soil zinc? Mechanisms of zinc efficiency in crop plants. *New Phytologist*, 159, 341- 350.
- Harris, D., Joshi, A., Khan, P.A., Gothkar, P. and Sodhi, P.S. (1999).** On-farm seed priming in semi-arid agriculture: development and evaluation in maize, rice and chickpea in India using participatory methods. *Exp. Agric.* 35: 15-29.

**Jafar M, Farooq M, Cheema MA, Afzal I, Basra SMA, Wahid MA, Aziz T, Shahid**

**M. (2012)** Improving the performance of wheat by seed priming under saline conditions. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*. 2012; 198:38–45.

**Kalyankar, S.V.; Kadam, G.R.; Borgaonkar, S.B.; Deshmukh, J.D., and Kadam, B.P., (2008).** Effect of foliar application of growth regulators on seed yield and yield component of soybean (*Glycine.max (L.) Merrill.*). *Asian J. of Biological Sci.*,3(1): 229-230.

**Kharbade V.J., Bhabhulkar V. P., and Bhabhulkar P. S. 1995.** Response of mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*) to sukphur and zinc applications on vertisols. *Annulas of plant physiology*(9): 130-132.

**Kumar Premi OP, Thomas L.** Rapeseed -Mustard cultivation in India - an overview. National Research Centre on Rapeseed -Mustard, Bharatpur (Rajasthan). 2015, 303 -321.

**Kumar vineet, Knadpal, Diwedi Ashish, Sagar Vipin Kumar, Kumar Vikasah and Sharma Dinesh Kumar (2016).** Effect of nitrogen and zinc fertilizers rates on growth, yield and quality of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*). *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. **Volume 8** Issue 6, 2016: 1031-1035.

**Kumari, A., Singh, R.P., Yespal,** Productivity, nutrient uptake and economics of mustard hybrid (*Brassica juncea*) under different planting time and row spacing *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **57**(1): 61-67 (2012).

**Kutuk C, Gökhan C, Abdullah B, Başkan O, (2000).** Effect of Humic Acid on some soil properties. Bildiri Özetleri, Soil Science Department, Agricultural Faculty, Ankara University, 06110- Ankara Turkey.

- Mahbeer Meena, Arun A david and Sanjeev Kumar (2018).** Effect of different leve;s of Zinc amd NPK on yield and oil content of Mustard (*Brasiica JUncea L*) Var Jai Kisan. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences*.**6** (6) 722-727.
- Malewar G. U., Kate S. D., Walkar S. L., and Ismall S. K.2001.** Interaction effect of zinc and boron on growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*).*Journal of Indian Society of Soil Sciences*.**(49)** 4: 763-766.
- Manjunath, B. L. and Dhanoji, M. M., (2011)** Effect of seed hardening with chemicals on drought tolerance and traits and yield in chickpea.*J. agric. Sci.*,**3(3)**.
- Moniruzzaman M, Mozumder SN, Islam MR, (2008).** Effects of Sulfur, Boron, Zinc and Molybdenum on Yield and Profitability of Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea L. Var. italica*). *J Agric Rural Dev.*, **6** (1&2): 55-61.
- N. Jafri (2009),** Study of the Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> Application on the Performance of Sunflower. M.Phil. Dissertation, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India.
- N. Bharadwaj, S. Barthakur, N. Gogoi (2017).** Physiological responses of osmo-priming and hormonal treatments in two contrasting mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) cultivars. *Curr. Sci. Inidia*, **112** (2017), pp. 25-2017.
- Narayanareddy, A. B. and Biradarpatil, N. K., 2012.** Effect of pre-sowing invigouration seed treatments on seed quality and crop establishment in mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*). *Karnataka J. agric. Sci.* **25 (1):** (43-46).
- Natarajan, K., (2002).** Panchagavya—A Manual. Other Indian Press, Mapusa, Goa, India, pp: 333.
- Pandey, S., Manoj, K.M., and Tripath, M.K.,** Study of inheritance of erucic acid in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*) *Octa Journal of Biosciences* **1:** 77-84 (2013).

- Punithavathi, N. and Palanisamy, V., (2001).** Standardization of seed hardening chemicals and plant extracts in ragi cv.CO-13. *J. Ecobiol.* 13: 35-41.
- Rosental L, Nonogaki H, Fait A.** Activation and regulation of primary metabolism during seed germination of Mustard (*Brassica juncea L*). *Seed Science Research.* 2014; 24:1-15.
- Rup Das E., Guatam, P.R., Dhruva, J. J., Prakash, K. F. (2020).** Effect of treatment with KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, KNO<sub>3</sub> and PEG 6000 on yield and yield attributes. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*(50): 382-384.
- Sathiya Narayanan, G. Prakash, M. and Reka, M. (2016)** Influence of seed hardening treatments on growth, gas exchange and yield parameters in black gram under drought condition. *Legume Research*, 39 (2): 248-255.
- Singh P., Pantora N., Singh K. N., Panhat R. B., (2013).**Interaction effect of suulphur and potassium on nutritional quality and profitability of brown sarason (*Brassica rapa. Var brown sarosan*)ij template kasmir. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 58: 91-95.
- Torun A, Gültekin I, Kalayci M, Yilmaz A, Eker S, (2001).** Effects of zinc fertilization on grain yield and shoot concentrations of zinc, boron, and phosphorus of 25 wheat cultivars grown on a zinc-deficient and boron-toxic soil. *Journal of plant nutrition*, 24 (11): 1817- 1829.
- Tripathi, M. K., Chaturvedi, S., Shukla, D. K. and Mahapatra, B. S. (2010)**Yield performance and quality in Indian mustard (*Brassica junceai*) as affected by integrated nutrient management. *Indian Journal of Agronomy.* 55(20:138-142.
- U Thakare, N Patil and N Malpathak (2011),** Performance of chickpea under the influence of gibberellic acid and oxygenated peptone during germination. *Adv. Biosci. Biotechnol.* 2: 40-45.
- Ugale, R. V. and Mungse, H. B. 2001.** Effect of pre-sowing seed hardening on yield and yield components of wheat. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.*, 26: 103-104.

**Vimala, P., Mohamad Roff, M.N., Ahmad Shokri, O., and Lim, A.H., (2010)**

Effect of organic fertilizer on the yield and nutrient content of leaf-mustard (*Brassica juncea*) organically grown under shelter *J. Trop. Agric. and Fd. Sc.* **38**(2): 153– 160

**Winker, M., Vineera, B., Muscorous, A., and Arnold, U., (2009).** Fertiliser

products from new sanitation systems; their potential vales and risks. *Bioresource technology*; 100, 4090- 4096.



