

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_88851
Title of the Manuscript:	An Economics analysis of Super compost production and marketing under Godhan Nyay Yojna of Chhattisgarh plains
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title, abstract and keywords should include “India” to help other researchers looking for studies on this particular country. It also helps other readers to know the name of the country as the majority of them would not know the geographical names put in the title.</p> <p>There is lack of consistency in the spelling – Godhan vs. Gothan, supercompost vs. super compost.</p> <p>There is no description of the differences between Model Gothan, Non-Model Gothan etc. The results show no significant differences in the cost of supercompost and the returns so what is the point in compering these for – what? Models, areas?</p> <p>There is no information about the share of compost purchase in the farmers' incomes and the change in incomes thanks to the scheme.</p> <p>What is the difference between supercompost and vermicompost?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Barbara Wieliczko
Department, University & Country	Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland