

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_88289
Title of the Manuscript:	Terminal Heat Stress and its Mitigation options Through Agronomic Interventions in wheat crop: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is written very poorly with lot of correction needed. In the abstract section, the literally, the whole sentences are copied from the main text bodies. Most of the statements are made without any proper citations. Majorly it looks like the most the statements randomly arrangement. There is no link when we move from one statement to another. Whole manuscript needs major corrections. Conclusion also looks similar to the manuscript. Tables and figures are put randomly at any place without any discussion in the main body.	
Minor REVISION comments	The abstract needs to be improved. There are too general statement in the abstract which needs to be improved. What about the indo-gangetic plains??? Is there is no problem of terminal heat in IGP. At end the abstract needs a conclusive line. I think which is missing. All of the mentioned stresses are abiotic stresses. So the biotic word should be removed. The statement needs to be corrected. As, you had already described about the high temperature. This is statement is not correct. Need to be corrected. The term terminal heat stress need to elaborated here instead of abstract. Reference need to be corrected. Similar statement is placed in the abstract without any modification Wrong placement of the figure that too without any legends. Is this your own clicked photo or taken from somewhere else. In latter case kindly cite the proper citation. The axis title are missing. Kindly add it without it Is very difficult to undertand the graph. I did not find any proper explanation of this table in the writ-up section. Too much statements without any citation. Since Is this your own observation ????? Properly cite thee table in the text section. What your views about the sustainable agricultural practices such as agroforestry, natural farming Similar to other sections. You have made the heavy statements without any proper citations. Never start any statement Abbreviations need to be elaborated In conclusion Future implications are mising	
Optional/General comments	Should conduct English editing and grammar check.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prashant Sharma
Department, University & Country	Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, India