

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_88177
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Design of laboratory scale subsurface flow constructed wetland system
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The results are rich in figures, which are extremely expressive (and this is good point of work). However, the results are poor in description, so I recommended to the authors a detail of the figures and an association of some figures with the descriptive text because there is no connection. For example, Figures 4,5,6 and 7 lack adequacy in the text. Other shortcomings are minor.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is good overall and offers a perspective with practical applicability. The methodology is usual and appropriate used for such a study/ product considered. English is understood at a good level. The conclusions are clear and to the point. They don't look redundant. All references can be found in the description of the manuscript (in Introduction, design and results). Their listing at the end keeps a single line and provides all the necessary elements.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ioana Grozea
Department, University & Country	Banat's University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania