

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_87849
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of iron and bio-fertilizer on growth parameters and yield of baby corn (Zea mays L.) Prayagraj condition.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract should be crafted well. Words such as background, objectives, Methods, and conclusion should be removed.</p> <p>Introduction – establish well your rationale why there is a need to conduct a study like this. Check the grammar and spellings. You indicated objectives but you only have one objective.</p> <p>Methodology and Discussion: Treatments should be indicated and properly described, the experimental design, statistical tools used, and how the comparison of means should be indicated. Parameters gathered should be indicated here and describe them precisely and concisely how they were measured and do not place here the result of your observed parameters.</p> <p>Result: in the discussion the treatments should not be presented as a whole to easily follow the discussions. Profitability analysis should also be gathered and discussed to support your claim in your conclusion.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The researcher should include the quality of baby corn produced such as the length, diameter, and not the husk	
Optional/General comments	The paper needs to be proofread and English critic	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dionisio S. Bucao
Department, University & Country	Mariano Marcos State University, Philippines