

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_86672
Title of the Manuscript:	Anthropocene bearing on Snow-Avalanche Disasters over the West Indian Himalayas: an appraisal
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The article's subject is interesting, necessary, and fits the Journal scope. However, some points have to be revised by the authors to improve the article.</p> <p>1. Introduction: This item must be structured containing: an introduction about the subject, objective, methodology and main findings. It isn't easy to understand what the article is in the way it is written. Avoid to use symbologies like: "≈2km to ≈6.5km". Use terms as: approximately, nearly, about, almost. In the Abstract, the use of acronyms is not recommended, for example, PPP, SIS, BRO or you must identify the acronyms at least once.</p> <p>General comments It is essential to localize the mountains through maps in order to identify the region. Table 1 is out of page space. The references in the figures and tables cannot be a link. Correct the repeated numbers in table 2. Provide the references in the figures that are not yours. Number the equations with correct units. Some phrases must be rewritten because they are too long. A native speaker must review the English language.</p> <p>Article structure: Sometimes, it was not easy to follow and understand the work. Scientific works must include Introduction, Literature review (or Background), Methodology, Results, and Conclusions. There is no methodology described in your article, and it is essential. Provide a methodology flowchart, divide it into phases, and explain the adopted method to achieve the results.</p> <p>The item conclusion is incipient. You have to highlight the main findings.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Text revision English language.</p> <p>Improve the Figures (quality)</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Liseane Padilha Thives
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil