

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_86649
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SPACING AND BIOFERTILIZER ON GROWTH YIELD AND ECONOMIC OF BLACK GRAM (Vigna mungo L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript provides important information about the Effect of Spacing and Biofertilizer on growth and yield of Black gram (<i>Vigna mungo</i> L.). The experiment was laid out in randomized bolck design by keeping three spacing levels, <i>i.e.</i> S - (20 x 10 cm), S2 – (25 x 10 cm) and S3 – (30 x 10 cm) and Biofertilizers <i>i.e.</i> PSB and Rhizobium and which was replicated three thrice. The results are interesting and important to researchers in relevant fields of agriculture. Some corrections need to be made, in order to publish the manuscript. Please, take into account the following recommendations to improve this manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The general presentation of the manuscript should be reviewed, the extra spaces between words or the lack of spaces between titles, subtitles and text according to the requirements of the journal;</li><li>- The theoretical documentation of the study is fragile, it does not include those sources (materials, reports, publications etc.) which offers a direct treatment of the subject to research;</li><li>- The critical approach of specialized literature that will allow the analysis and interpretation of information, the development of a objective point of view and the establishment of clear objectives;</li><li>- I recommend a revision of the manuscript according to the requirements of the journal (English, technical editing, bibliography, etc.);</li><li>- I recommend numbering the subchapters from <b>MATERIALS &amp; METHODS and Results and Discussion</b>;</li><li>- I recommend unitary editing of chapters (see: <b>INTRODUCTION, MATERIALS &amp; METHODS and Results and Discussion</b>;</li><li>- I recommend the inclusion of a larger number of more recent studies on the subject and their insertion in bibliographic references;</li><li>- I recommend correcting the word "phophorus" with phosphorus, see page 2, <b>MATERIALS &amp; METHODS</b>;</li><li>- I recommend editing the results obtained in the form of tables or figures;</li><li>- The presentation of the Conclusions is very short.</li></ul> <p>I recommend a carefully check of the full manuscript to correct any grammatical or syntax error. Based on the above mentioned, I recommend this paper for publication after performing the suggested correction.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniela Stoin
Department, University & Country	Banat's University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania