Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Environment and Climate Change**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJECC_86531**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of cotton stalks residues and fertility levels on yield and energetics of sweet corn.**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	I thank the editor for the invitation and the authors for the possibility of reviewing this research. My review focuses on analyzing the research structure, statistical analysis and conclusions to see if they agree with the results. The introduction should clearly state the purpose of the study and the reason for its importance, which would benefit the research. The material and methods clearly show how the research was carried out, allowing for its replication. In the yield section of the results and discussion, it is missing the value of the F test used, degrees of freedom and the p-value obtained in ANOVA related to the main effects and interaction effects. In science this is needed. All the statements should be clearly explained with the statistics applied. This is also missing from the following subsections of the results. Statistical results must be clear in the text. The added value of the research must be clear in the conclusions.	
Optional/General comments	The paper could be improved if the authors reviewed it according to the comments above.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Maria Helena de Aguiar Pereira e Pestana**
Department, University & Country	**ISCTE-IUL, Lisbon University, Portugal**