

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_85853
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship Between Weather Variables and The Corynespora Leaf Spot Disease in Soybean
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) The ABSTRACT looks like a mini INTRODUCTION. The ABSTRACT has to be re-written. An Abstract should contain a concise explanation of: what work was done, method(s) used, results gotten and conclusion.</li><li>2) The RESULT AND DISCUSSION section was poorly done! The Author did not discuss his/her results rather the figures were just put out. The Results should be discussed with references made to other researchers' works. The Result section is considered the most important portion of a scholarly article. After all, the aim of every scholarly paper is for a researcher to share the information he/she got from a research carried out. This section always refers to how works from other researchers complements or differs from a present research findings.  Please, rework your RESULT AND DISCUSSION section so that your work will be more appreciated.</li><li>3) The CONCLUSION section should categorically state the findings of this present research</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) All the words in the title of the manuscript should be expunged from the keywords</li><li>2) Some grammatical corrections should be made in the whole body of the manuscript</li><li>3) The REFERENCES need to follow the template of the journal, please.</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) The green colour highlight shows the sentences or words that should be removed or needs attention while the purple highlight shows words that need to be added to complete the sentence or are comments that refer to the green highlight observations that need to be addressed.</li></ol>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Obiageri Florence Ajah
Department, University & Country	King David University of Medical Sciences, Nigeria