

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_85719 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Competitive behaviour of new cultivars of Indian mustard on weeds in lower Siwaliks of North-West Himalayas |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>The study title should be changed to “evaluation of newly released Indian mustard cultivars in Siwalik region of Jammu”.</p> <p>Abstract has not been written according to Journal format.</p> <p>Introduction has been written in casual manner. Old data and irrelevant sentences have been added.</p> <p>Methodology part includes only agronomic procedure followed for the crop production. It lacks the explanation of tools and techniques used for the analysis. Results and discussion has been written in pathetic manner.</p> <p>The agronomic experiment should carry pooled data, and then only meaningful conclusions can be drawn. This manuscript lacks in this regard.</p> <p>Both the tables of manuscript have not been cited in the results and also not been explained carefully.</p> <p>Table 1. The figures in the parenthesis do not indicate anything. Neither they can be not summed up nor can be explained.</p> <p>Table 2. has to be explained neatly in the results section covering all the parameters included in the table.</p> <p>A major revision is to be made before submission for publication.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>The reference section has to be modified according to the needs of the journal.</p> <p>The typographical and spelling mistakes have been rectified in the manuscript itself and have to be incorporated before the submission.</p> | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Prabhuling Tevari |
| Department, University & Country | University of Agricultural Sciences, India |