

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_85623
Title of the Manuscript:	GREEN LIVING: A PANACEA FOR SECURED ENVIRONMENT
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	The abstract does not follow the framework of a scientific paper. It needs to be reconstructed. Type of study, methodology, findings and conclusion are missing from abstract.  What? We eat Mr. available now. Literature review is too poor. Introduce dominant green production technologies in this regard. Green production strategies must be mentioned. The redesign and reproduction strategies and technologies must be explained. Conclusion lacks the important and key points. Explain EPI index relevantly its equations and relationships. Tabulate greenery projects in the industrial scale up and in the project levels in the world. Tabulate the budgets devoted to greenery projects by world bank. Add the role of nanotechnology in green products and its drawbacks and advantages, Paper can be extended with various assessment instruments like EPI index. it can be extended to financial relationships in related cases, etc	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

Review Form 1.6

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Malek Hassanpour
Department, University & Country	Osmania University, India