

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_85547
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Economics of different size units of Polyhouses in Ranga Reddy district of Telangana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	References aren't mentioned in the text. Exceptions are 5, 7 and 9, which are mentioned, but 7 is incorrect (there is another year of publication) – please check and correct. Include around 90% of the reference in Introduction.	
Minor REVISION comments	What is INR? Why is it always writing differently, with TNR instead of Arial? Please check the entire text, because in some places you have single spacing, in other there is 1.15 pt. Also, there are a lot of missing spaces, especially between numbers, so that they are difficult to understand. Use equations in all cases, instead of writing them normal. At the end of each equation, give it a number, in order to identify it easier in the text. In the entire paper, but especially in 3.3, 3.4 and Conclusion, what kind of figures are those: 5,10,611.90; 14,29,148.40; 23,22,360.10 and many others??? It seems like some numbers are missing... they are not divided in groups of three, I can't explain this.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Horoias Roxana
Department, University & Country	University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest, Romania