

Original Research Article

Assessment of Spatial and Temporal Drought Severity; A Study in Transition zone in Karnataka State in India

Abstract

Rainfall data from 1979-2019 was analysed across the agro climatic zones in transition region of Karnataka. Rainfall Anomaly Index and Mean deviation methods are used to identify drought years and wet years over both spatial and temporal on time scale. The North Eastern Transition Zone can be considered as most vulnerable zone as it recorded for about 24 dry years values which indicates existence of persistence drought in this zone. The Northern Transition Zone is not vulnerable when compared to Northern Eastern Transition Zone as most of the drought year values are nearer to wet year values (RAI -0.3 to -1.2) which implies that this zone has moderate drought condition. The Southern transition zone has normal drought condition (RAI +0.3 to -0.3) which implies neither wet nor neither dry condition. But if proper conservations were not taken, this zone may come in the hit list of most vulnerable zone in near future which will harm the farming community.

Keywords: Rainfall, Drought, Rainfall Anomaly index, Transition zone, Karnataka

Introduction

India, a sub-tropical country is highly sensitive to climate change. The main reason for its high sensitivity is due to the vagaries of rainfall. More than 68 percent of the net sown area in the country is drought prone, out of which 50 percent is severe in nature (Alley *et al.*, 2007). India experienced at least one drought in every three years in the last five decades. However, the main concern is the increase in the frequency and spatial extent of prolonged droughts (Mishra and Singh, 2010). Meteorologically a deviation of + 19% rainfall from the long term mean is considered normal, while deficiency of more than 20 % up to 59% is moderate drought and greater than 60 % as severe drought (Reference(s)). It is observed that from 1871 to 2009, India witnessed 24 major droughts among them five were severe. One of the major reasons reported for drought occurrence in India has been due to the strong link with the El Niño-Southern Oscillations (ENSO) pattern (Gadgil, 2003).

Rain-fed south central India is highly prone to droughts. The abnormally low rainfall in 1979 resulted in 20% reduction in food grain production, while the 1987 drought damaged 58.6 million hectares of cropped area and threatened 285 million people's livelihood. The 2002 drought resulted in the reduction of agricultural productivity from 2012 million tons to 174 million tons (Murthy and Sai, 2010). The arid (19.6%), semi-arid (37%) and sub-humid (21%), regions occupying 77.6 % of India's total land area of 329 million hectare are the most drought prone areas (CMP, 2010). There are no proper studies for assessment of drought at disaggregated micro level in semi arid zone.

Comment [R1]: You need to give a background to your study. Tell the reader why you did this research, and what its importance is. You also need to state the objectives of this work.

Comment [R2]: Where? You are familiar with the location when someone says "North Eastern Transition Zone" but not the general reader.

Comment [R3]: What is the importance of this finding? You need to highlight it so that we understand the reasons behind your work.

Comment [R4]: What do you mean by 'proper' studies?

Semi arid zone contributes about 42 percent of total food grain production, supporting 60 percent of livestock population and employing nearly 37 percent of marginal farmers through agricultural activities. It comprises states namely Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Deccan plateau, parts of Gujarat and Maharashtra. Karnataka is ranks second after Rajasthan in terms of drought prone. The spatial and temporal feature of rainfall necessitates the need to examine its changing pattern in response to changing temperature because rainfall is one of the most important parameters that influence the agriculture of a region and food production. According to simulation studies, if proper mitigation measures are not taken, there can be agricultural productivity losses from 5 to 18% from 2030 to 2080 in the state (Singh and Bantilan, 2011). To understand the impact of climate change in case of drought the statistical analysis of variation of rainfall history of the region is important (Wilhite, 2016). Karnataka has Dry zone, Transition zone and Hilly zone which further divided into 10 agro climatic zones. The transition zone consists three agro climatic zones namely North eastern transition zone (NETZ), Northern Transition zone (NTZ) and Southern Transition zone (STZ). Transition zone was chosen for study as its many districts are ranking in top in category of vulnerability zones (KNDMS, 2018) and attempted to analyse extent of drought severity, mean deviation and deficit rainfall in the zone which will help to local specific adaptations and institutional development which ultimately favours farming community.

2. Study area and Methodology

Karnataka is located between 11° 40' N and 18° 27' N latitudes and between 74° 5' E and 78° 33' E longitudes, at the centre of the western Peninsular India, covering an area of 19.1 million hectares, accounting for 5.8 % of the country's total geographical area. The southern transition zone has 1.66 M ha which is large in area and also receives highest average rainfall of 820 to 910 mm among other two zones as shown in Table 1 (Reference).

Table 1: Physiographic of Agro climatic zones in Transition Zone o in Karnataka

Agro Climatic Zones	Area (M ha)	Elevation above sea level (Mts)	Mean Rainfall (mm)	Districts	AgrometField Unit Location
NETZ	0.87	800 to 900	700 to 890	Bidar, Gulbarga	Bidar
NTZ	1.13	450 to 900	750 to 850	Dharwad, Belagavi, Haveri	Dharwad
STZ	1.66	800 to 900	820 to 910	Hassan, Shivamogga, Chikkamagaluru	Navile, Shivamogg

Source: Department of Mines and Geology, Government of Karnataka Annual Report 2013). The lowest rainfall was received by NETZ with 700 to 890 mm. The northern transition has second higher either in terms of area or rainfall in transition region. Rainfall measure is used in drought index calculations as it is the most vital hydrological variable generally the only meteorological measurement made in semi arid areas (Tilahun, 2006). Climatic variables like daily rainfall,

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [R5]: Which state? You have name several.

Comment [R6]: Explain what is meant by the different zones, and the importance of the Transition zone for you to have focused on it.

Comment [R7]: Nice if there is a visual display

Comment [R8]: If you use abbreviations, they should be SI units only.

Comment [R9]: What is this unit?

temperature from 1979 to 2019 across Karnataka state was collected from AICRPAM CRIDA, Hyderabad.

i) Rainfall Anomaly Index (RAI)

In this study, RAI is modified to account for non normality like SPI is used for the assessment of both temporal and spatial droughts as it is independent of time and space. Hence it is more useful in semi-arid regions particularly like India, as at many meteorological stations the recorded rainfall data available is less than 30 years, while most of the metrological drought assessment indices require more than 30 years of data (Rooy,1965). RAI is used to assess and identify droughts, drought severity and variability by comparing with some established arbitrary value. It is described as rainfall variability over a time and is estimated as below:

For positive anomalies

$$RAI = 3 \left(\frac{RNF - RNF_m}{X - RNF_m} \right) \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

For negative anomalies

$$RAI = -3 \left(\frac{RNF - RNF_m}{Y - RNF_m} \right) \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

Where, RNF = Actual rainfall for a given year (mm)

RNF_m = Mean rainfall of the total length of record (mm)

X= Mean of the ten highest values of rainfall (mm)

Y= Mean of the ten lowest values of rainfall (mm)

Table 2: Classification of Rainfall Anomaly Index

S. No	RAI Range	Drought Classification	Percent deficit from mean rainfall
1	>3	Extreme wet	
2	2.1 to 3	Severe wet	
3	1.2 to 2.1	Medium wet	
4	0.3 to 1.2	Weak wet	
5	+0.3 to -0.3	Normal	
6	-0.3 to -1.2	Weak drought	0 to 10
7	-1.2 to -2.1	Medium drought	10 to 15
8	-2.1 to -3	Severe drought	15 to 20
9	< -3	Extreme drought	>20

(Source: Keyantash and Dracup,2002)

A ranking of nine classes of rainfall abnormality ranging from extremely wet to extremely dry and range of each class is shown in Table 2 (Keyantash and Dracup, 2002); Roshan *et al.*, 2012). If the purpose of the study is to investigate dry periods the negative prefixed RAI is used, while positive RAI is used to study wet periods (Hansel and Matschullat, 2006).

ii) Percentage of Deficit rainfall (DR) or Rainfall Surplus (RS)

The percentage annual rainfall departure from the long term mean annual rainfall was used for drought assessment (Pai *et al.*, 2011) for all the stations. Percent rainfall variation of each year was further categorized into four percentage ranges namely 0 to 10, 10 to 15, 15 to 20 and greater than 20.

Comment [R10]: What is the reference for the index?

Comment [R11]: If you use abbreviations, they need to be elaborated when you first introduce them.

Comment [R12]: Why?

$$\text{Percentage of Deviation} = (P_{\text{act}} - P) / P * 100$$

P_{act} –Precipitation of the region

P-Mean precipitation of the region




Figure 1 : Map Showing climatic zones of Karnataka state in India

Comment [R13]: Not mentioned anywhere in the text.

3. Results and discussions

Dry and wet years of each transition zone (Table 2) have been presented. The study attempted to find out most drought affected zone based on occurrence and intensity of dry spells years over the forty years i.e. 1979 to 2019. The RAI and Rainfall deficit values are validated. If the $RAI < -3$, then it implies that, rainfall deficit will be certainly more than 20 % which can be considered as extreme drought as shown in Figure 5.

Comment [R14]: This is not your findings. It is only a reference. Plus, it discusses the ranges, not the years per say. Unless, you mean Table 3?

Comment [R15]: How was the validation done and what were the data used? Where in the methodology is it discussed?

Drought Severity in Northern Eastern Transition zone of Karnataka

The dry period RAI values in NETZ ranges from -3.85 to -0.20. During the year 1979 to 2019, the extreme drought ($RAI < -3$) noticed in four years i.e. 1991, 1992, 1993 & 1994 as shown in Table 3. It was also noticed that, about seven years are under severe drought condition ($RAI < -2.1$) as shown in (Figure 2). Thirteen districts are under medium drought & weak drought ($RAI < -0.3$ to -2.1). Out of 40 years about 24 years are under drought condition in NETZ. The wet period RAI ranges from 0.35 to 4.67. Out of 17 years of wet period, the occurrence of normal wet (+0.3 to -0.3) occurred in the year 2000. The extreme wet period accrued for seven years (>3 RAI) in 1983, 1988, 1990, 1998, 2005, 2010 & 2016. The nine years have consequently falling in the category of medium wet to weak wet category with REAI ranges from 1.10 to 1.69 in different years. The North eastern

Comment [R16]: It's a bit odd to find mention of Figure 5 when there are Figures 2, 3, and 4 coming into the text after that. Best would be to mention this before the figure itself, as opposed to here. Alternatively, take the example of the figure out from here. If it needs to be discussed at this precise location, then bring the figure up. Otherwise you will be running ahead of yourself.

transition zone can be considered as most vulnerable drought zone since it is more prone to drought occurrence for 24 years with less number of wet years during study period of 1979-2019. As a whole, North eastern transition zone has maximum mean deviation in the year 1994 with MD value -121.34 with -29.99 % DR. The minimum MD occurred in the year 2008 with value -6.21 which also recorded with -1.53 % DR. The maximum wet year is 1983 with positive deviation of 204.34 mm with 50.51% SR. The minimum wet year is 2000 with positive deviation of 15.27 mm with 3.77% SR.

Table 3: Drought Severity in North Eastern Transition Zone (NETZ)

[illegible]




Figure 2; Dry and Wet years of North Eastern Transition Zone

Drought Severity in Northern Transition zone of Karnataka

In the northern transition zone, the dry years occurs for 22 years. The dry period (< -3 RAI) occurred in five years 2001, 2016, 2003, 1985, 2002 with RAI values of -4.00, -3.96, -3.68, -3.64 & -3.00 respectively (Table 4) which imply that there is extreme drought. During forty years from 1979 to 2019, the weak and medium drought existed for about seventeen times with RAI values ranges from -0.3 to -2.1. About 10 years are under range of -0.73 to -0.01 which implies as normal condition of neither drought or nor wet years. With respect to percentage deviation of rainfall, about nine years i.e. 2001, 2016, 2003, 1985, 2002, 1995, 1989, 2015 and 1990 are categorised in extreme drought condition ($>20\%$ deviation). The extreme wet years occurred with value ($RAI > 3$) in the year 2014, 2009, 2019 with 3.02, 4.30 & 5.93 respectively (Figure 3).

About six years falls in the category of severe wet as the RAI values more than 2.07 to 2.81. The highest RAI of wet period accrued in 2019 with 5.93 (> 3 RAI) and lowest in 1980 with RAI value 0.25. It is observed that, the NTZ is not vulnerable when compared to NETZ as most of the drought year values are nearer to wet year values. As a whole, North transition zone has maximum mean deviation in the year 2001 with MD value -229.36mm with -33.58 % DR. The minimum MD occurred in the year 1987 with value -0.52 mm which also recorded with -0.08 % DR. The maximum wet year is 2019 with positive deviation of 329.31 mm with 48.21% SR. The minimum wet year is 1980 with positive deviation of 14.03 mm with 2.05% SR.

Table 4: Drought Severity in Northern Transition Zone (NTZ)

S. No	Dry years of NTZ					S. No	Wet years of NTZ				
	Year	AR(mm)	MD(mm)	RAI	DR(%)		Year	AR(mm)	MD(mm)	RAI	SR(%)
1	1981	671.64	-11.36	-0.20	-1.66	1	1979	798.08	115.08	2.07	16.85
2	1983	665.84	-17.16	-0.30	-2.51	2	1980	697.03	14.03	0.25	2.05
3	1984	620.42	-62.58	-1.09	-9.16	3	1982	800.04	117.04	2.11	17.14
4	1985	474.10	-208.90	-3.64	-30.59	4	1988	711.51	28.51	0.51	4.17
5	1986	614.75	-68.25	-1.19	-9.99	5	1991	754.03	71.03	1.28	10.40
6	1987	682.48	-0.52	-0.01	-0.08	6	1992	752.86	69.86	1.26	10.23
7	1989	523.83	-159.17	-2.77	-23.30	7	1993	726.06	43.06	0.78	6.30
8	1990	561.99	-121.02	-2.11	-17.72	8	1994	714.63	31.63	0.57	4.63
9	1995	514.63	-168.37	-2.94	-24.65	9	1997	760.52	77.52	1.40	11.35
10	1996	645.24	-37.76	-0.66	-5.53	10	2005	838.96	155.96	2.81	22.83
11	1998	641.22	-41.78	-0.73	-6.12	11	2006	791.00	108.00	1.94	15.81
12	1999	653.47	-29.54	-0.51	-4.32	12	2007	828.60	145.60	2.62	21.32
13	2000	643.26	-39.74	-0.69	-5.82	13	2008	735.41	52.41	0.94	7.67
14	2001	453.64	-229.36	-4.00	-33.58	14	2009	922.11	239.11	4.30	35.01
15	2002	511.05	-171.95	-3.00	-25.18	15	2010	818.03	135.03	2.43	19.77
16	2003	471.75	-211.25	-3.68	-30.93	16	2011	739.81	56.81	1.02	8.32
17	2004	655.34	-27.66	-0.48	-4.05	17	2012	836.64	153.64	2.77	22.49
18	2013	660.16	-22.84	-0.40	-3.34	18	2014	850.99	167.99	3.02	24.60
19	2015	527.37	-155.63	-2.71	-22.79	19	2019	1012.31	329.31	5.93	48.21
20	2016	456.00	-227.00	-3.96	-33.24						
21	2017	618.47	-64.53	-1.12	-9.45						
22	2018	647.88	-35.12	-0.61	-5.14						
Max dry value		453.64	-222.36	-4.00	-33.58	Max wet value		1012.31	329.31	5.93	48.71
Min dry value		682.48	-0.52	-0.01	-0.08	Min wet value		697.03	14.03	0.25	2.05
AR –Annual Rainfall; MD-Mean deviation ;RAI-Rainfall Anomaly Index; DR- Deficit Rainfall; SR-surplus Rainfall											
*Authors calculation based on data availability from AICRPAM, CRIDA, Hyderabad											




Figure 3; Dry and Wet years of North Transition Zone

Drought Severity in Southern Transition zone of Karnataka

In the Southern Transition Zone, the dry years occurred for 22 years as same as NTZ. The period from 1985 to 1989 recorded severe drought as the RAI values ranges -2.1 to -3. The extreme drought condition occurred in the year 2001, 2002, 2003 (< -3 RAI) as shown in Table 5. About four years recorded with RAI above -1.95 to -1.15 which imply as medium drought in the zone (Figure 4). Majority of the years falls in the weak and medium wet category. The STZ didn't registered any year with RAI > 3. This implies that this zone has normal condition of neither wet or neither dry condition. But if proper conservations were not taken, the zone may comes in the hit list of most vulnerable zone in near future. As a whole, Southern transition zone has maximum mean deviation in the year 2003 with MD value -317.98mm with -32.76 % DR. The minimum MD occurred in the year 2015 with value -5.46 mm which also recorded with -0.56 % DR .The maximum wet year is 2005 with positive deviation of 442.88 mm with 45.63 % SR. The minimum wet year is 1983 with positive deviation of 30.65mm with 3.16% SR.

Table 5: Drought Severity in Southern Transition Zone (STZ)

S.No	Dry years of STZ					S.No	Wet years of STZ				
	Year	AR(mm)	MD(mm)	RAI	DR(%)		Year	AR(mm)	MD(mm)	RAI	SR(%)
1	1979	917.41	-53.15	-0.73	-5.48	1	1980	1118.01	147.45	0.37	15.19
2	1982	962.10	-8.46	-0.12	-0.87	2	1981	1048.86	78.30	0.20	8.07
3	1984	945.86	-24.70	-0.34	-2.55	3	1983	1001.21	30.65	0.08	3.16
4	1985	759.21	-211.35	-2.90	-21.78	4	1991	1032.02	61.46	0.15	6.33
5	1986	886.88	-83.69	-1.15	-8.62	5	1992	1066.62	96.06	0.24	9.90
6	1987	828.22	-142.34	-1.95	-14.67	6	1994	1069.42	98.85	0.25	10.18
7	1988	791.06	-179.51	-2.46	-18.49	7	1997	1061.88	91.31	0.23	9.41
8	1989	774.59	-195.98	-2.68	-20.19	8	1999	1046.70	76.14	0.19	7.84
9	1990	803.13	-167.43	-2.29	-17.25	9	2005	1413.45	442.88	1.11	45.63
10	1993	907.47	-63.09	-0.86	-6.50	10	2007	1093.84	123.28	0.31	12.70
11	1995	752.88	-217.69	-2.98	-22.43	11	2009	1010.88	40.31	0.10	4.15
12	1996	811.72	-158.84	-2.18	-16.37	12	2010	1155.07	184.50	0.46	19.01
13	1998	883.04	-87.52	-1.20	-9.02	13	2011	1393.69	423.13	1.06	43.60
14	2000	961.05	-9.52	-0.13	-0.98	14	2012	1397.70	427.14	1.07	44.01
15	2001	720.25	-250.31	-3.43	-25.79	15	2013	1003.27	32.70	0.08	3.37
16	2002	661.29	-309.28	-4.24	-31.87	16	2014	1049.00	78.44	0.20	8.08
17	2003	652.59	-317.98	-4.36	-32.76	17	2017	1037.73	67.16	0.17	6.92
18	2004	935.86	-34.71	-0.48	-3.58	18	2018	1068.01	97.44	0.24	10.04
19	2006	883.66	-86.90	-1.19	-8.95	19	2019	1191.10	220.54	0.55	22.72
20	2008	942.41	-28.16	-0.39	-2.90						
21	2015	965.10	-5.46	-0.07	-0.56						
22	2016	789.02	-181.55	-2.49	-18.71						
Max dry value		652.59	-317.98	-4.36	-32.76	Max wet value		1413.45	442.88	1.11	45.63
Min dry value		965.10	-5.46	-0.07	-0.56	Min wet value		1001.21	30.65	0.08	3.16

AR –Annual Rainfall; MD-Mean deviation ;RAI-Rainfall Anomaly Index; DR- Deficit Rainfall; SR-surplus Rainfall

*Authors calculation based on data availability from AICRPAM, CRIDA, Hyderabad




Figure 4; Dry and Wet years of Southern Transition Zone

The North Eastern Transition Zone can be considered as most vulnerable zone as it recorded for about 24 dry years values while Northern Transition Zone is not vulnerable when compared to Northern Eastern Transition Zone as most of the drought year values are nearer to wet year values and can be considered as moderate drought zone. The Southern transition zone has normal drought condition which implies neither wet nor neither dry condition.




Figure 5 (i-iii): Rainfall Deviation across Agro climatic zones in Transition Zone

Conclusion and policy implication

The assessment of rainfall occurrence and dispersion is essential to estimate the irrigation supply to farm & nonfarm population for domestic and farm use respectively. The study revealed that, there is difference in drought occurrence and its intensity across the agro climatic zones in Transition region. The north eastern zone is already vulnerable with more dry years while southern transition is nearer to become as vulnerable zone. The state is surrounded by rivers Krishna in the north, Cauvery in the south, Tungabhadra in the central part Therefore, there is a great need and scope for development of irrigation sources in the drought districts. Investment should be made in creation micro irrigation methods, water harvesting structures like check dams, farm ponds which helps the farmers to increase crop production despite of existed drought condition in state.

References :

Alley, R. et al. (2007). The Physical Science Basis, Summary for Policymakers Climate change (IPCC, Geneva).

Anonymous (2018). Karnataka Natural disaster management authority Government of Karnataka

Araújo, L.E., Moraes Neto, J.M., Sousa, F.A.S. ,(2009). Classificação da precipitação anual e da quadra chuvosa da Bacia do rio Paraíba utilizando o Índice de Anomalia de Chuva (IAC). Revista Ambiente&Água (4); 93-100

Gadgil S, Srinivasan J, Nanjundiah RS, Kumar KK, Munot AA, Rupakumar AA. (2002). On forecasting the Indian summer monsoon: the intriguing season of 2002. *Current science*, 83(4):394–403

Gadgil S, Joseph PV.(2003). On breaks of the Indian monsoon. Proc. Ind. Nat. Sci. Acad. (Earth. Plan. Sci.)

Hänsel et al. Štřelcová, K., Škvarenina, J. & Blaženec, M. (eds.) (2007). “*Bioclimatology and natural hazards*” ISBN 978-80-228-17-60-8

Kumar, R. and Gautam, H.R. Climate change and its impact on agricultural productivity in India 2014. *Journal of Climatology and Weather Forecasting*.2;109.

Keyantash, J. and Dracup, J.A.(2002).The Quantification of Drought: An Evaluation of Drought Indices. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* (83) ;1167

Modarres, R. and da Silva, V.P.(2007). Rainfall trends in arid and semi-arid regions of Iran. *Journal of Arid Environments* 70; 344–355.

Mishra, A.K. and Singh, V.P. (2010). A Review of Drought Concepts. *Journal of Hydrology* 391; 202-216.

Neil, M.N. and Notodiputro, K.A. (2016). Trend and pattern classification of surface air temperature change in the Arctic region. *Atmospheric Science Letters* 17 ;378–383.

Comment [R17]: Remind the reader what your objective(s) was(were), and summarize your key findings, before you go to the policy implication.

Pai, D.S., Sridhar, L., Guhathakurta, (2011). District-wide drought climatology of the southwest monsoon season over India based on standardized precipitation index 2011. *Nat Hazards* .(59) ;1797–1813

Rathod, I.M and S. Aruchamy. (2010) ‘Spatial analysis of rainfall variation in Coimbatore district, Tamil Nadu using GIS’, *Intl. J. Geomatics and Geosci.* 1(10):106-118,

Rooy Van. A Rainfall Anomaly Index Independent of Time and Space. *Notos*. 1965; 14, 43p

Roshan, Gholamreza; Ghanghermeh, AbdolAzim; Grab, Stefan W.(2016). *Testing a new application for TOPSIS: monitoring drought and wet periods in Iran. Theoretical and Applied Climatology.*

Singh, N P and Bantilan, M C S and Kumar, A A and Janila, P and Hassan, A W R .(2011) .*Climate Change Impact in Agriculture: Vulnerability and Adaptation Concerns of Semiarid Tropics in Asia*. In: Crop Adaptation to Climate Change. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, New Jersey, USA, pp. 107-130. ISBN 978-0-8138-2016-3

Ujwala Rani, S., P. Singh, N., Kumar, P., Padaria, R. N., & Paul, R. K. (2021). Trend Analysis of Temperature and Rainfall across Agro Climatic Zones of Karnataka-A Semi Arid State in India. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 11(10), 67-78

Wilhite DA (2016). Managing drought risk in a changing climate. *Climate research* (70):99-102