

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_84829
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiology of late blight of potato, its progress and apparent rate of infection
Type of the Article	Research article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Discussion section has to be elaborately given along with the literature. Recent literature relevant to the manuscript to be included in introduction. Since references belong to 2010 only given in the paper. Introduction has to be given elaborately since the disease related to Irish famine which is of historic importance.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Minor correction given in the text	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Late blight of potato caused by <i>Phytophthora infestans</i> is one of the most destructive diseases of potato world over. The management of the disease seems to be difficult due to its obligate nature of the pathogen. Rapid multiplication of the disease under favourable climatic conditions. Hence the present study pertains to the effect of weather parameters on initiation and severity of late blight of potato, Progress of late blight of potato in respect of percent disease intensity, Apparent rate of infection and AUDPC. The study gains more importance in the context providing suggestion to the management of the disease at appropriate time.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Valarmathi Pandian
Department, University & Country	ICAR- Central Institute for Cotton Research (CICR), India