

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_84829
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiology of late blight of potato, its progress and apparent rate of infection
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The current work is titled "Epidemiology of late blight of potato, its progress and apparent rate of infection." The authors were able to study Epidemiology of late blight of potato in a comprehensive manner, according to the research manuscript. The findings are interesting and valuable. Other scholars working in this field may find this research results very valuable. This work, in my opinion, deserves to be published.</p> <p>As a result, I believe the manuscript could be accepted for publication after major revisions as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Arrange keywords alphabetically.2. Conclusion is too short.3. Add some recent references related to this research work.4. List references according to the patterns of the journal.5. Complete page number of the references nos. 3, 5, and 9.6. Correct many grammatical errors in the whole manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The current work is titled "Epidemiology of late blight of potato, its progress and apparent rate of infection." The authors were able to study Epidemiology of late blight of potato in a comprehensive manner, according to the research manuscript. The findings are interesting and valuable. Other scholars working in this field may find this research results very valuable. This work, in my opinion, deserves to be published.</p> <p>As a result, I believe the manuscript could be accepted for publication after major revisions as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Arrange keywords alphabetically.2. Conclusion is too short.3. Add some recent references related to this research work.4. List references according to the patterns of the journal.5. Complete page number of the references nos. 3, 5, and 9.6. Correct many grammatical errors in the whole manuscript.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R.K. Lal
Department, University & Country	CSIR-CIMAP, India