

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_84553
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of fungicides against <i>Bipolaris sorokiniana</i> under in vitro and in vivo conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It is an important research topic with meaningful results; therefore, this work can be published. However; some few adjustments should be done (as follows):</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p>Please, review the sentence: "The harmful effects of fungicides to human and environment, there have been proved useful and economical in the control of spot blotch". It seems harmful effects are welcome.</p> <p>Results</p> <p>The paragraphs that discuss tables 3 and 4 ("Data presented in Table 3" "Similarly, in both the years" "Data presented in Table 4" etc.) present slightly different values than those found in the respective tables. please verify</p> <p>References:</p> <p>Text cited "Malakar and Milan, 2009" but References present Malaker, P.K., Saha, N.K., Rahman, M.M., Hussain, A.B.S., Haque, M. and Kabir, K.H. (2009). Yield loss assessment of wheat due to <i>Helminthosporium</i> blight at farmer's field. <i>Bangladesh. J. Sci. Res.</i> 29: 49-57</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Some acronym (for instance PDA) could be explained</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Lúcia Pereira da Silva
Department, University & Country	University of São Paulo, Brazil