Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Environment and Climate Change**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJECC_84553**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Efficacy of fungicides against Bipolaris sorokiniana under in vitro and in vivo conditions**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer Comments: 1. The manuscript is full of language and grammar errors. It needs to be rewritten by a native speaker/author with good command on English. Even consulting an expert will help improve the MS to a great extent. 2. Abstract: Consider the sentence, "The harmful…blotch.": The statement seems to be broken as a sentence. 3. Brevity and specificity with fair amount of precision are the keys to academic writing. Some suggestions regarding this are hereunder only as examples: a. Abstract: "Foliar…effective.": Replace "especially with" with "of" and delete "are applied" from the sentence. Also, replace "have been proved to be" with "are" in the same. b. Abstract: "Carbendazim…ppm.": Replace "cent per cet" with "complete". Also, remove "but" from the sentence and replace "produce" with "cause" or "give" in the same. c. Introduction: "Wheat…2002).": Replace: i. "concerning" with "important"; ii. "disease" with "diseases" iii. "wide spread" with "widespread" iv. "wheat growing" with "wheat-growing" v. "in Indian" with "in the Indian" vi. "belonging" to "belonged" d. Introduction: "Later on…maximum.": Delete "shared the maximum" e. Introduction: Paragraph 2: Replace: i. "as serious" with "as a serious" ii. "of world" with "of the world" iii. "South-east" with "Southeast" f. Introduction: Paragraph 2: Remove comma between "et al." and "(2009)". Give a space instead. Do the same with "Bahadar et al." and "(2016)" g. Introduction: Paragraph 2: "Spot blotch…conditions.": Add "in" after "significantly" and replace "low average" with "lowering the average" h. Introduction: Paragraph 3: "Malik et al., (2008)": please refer to the point number 7 as above. i. Introduction: Paragraph 3: Add "the" before "efficacy" and add "against spot blotch disease" after "fungicides." j. Introduction: Paragraph 3: Remove "Amongst fungicides,". Add ", respectively" after "0.2 per cent"; add "the" before "unsprayed" k. Introduction: Paragraph 4: Replace "et al,." with "et al." l. Introduction: Paragraph 5: See comment under point 7. m. Common names of chemicals (carboxin, thiram, propiconazole, etc.) may be written in lowercase. Capitalization of the first alphabet of such names is not the rule unless it starts a sentence. n. Introduction: Paragraph 5 onwards: Prefer using symbol (%) when writing in a parenthesis, bracket etc instead of writing "per cent" in full. o. Introduction: Paragraph 5: Replace "another" with "the other". p. Introduction: Paragraph 6: Please see comments under point 7 regarding et al. q. Introduction: Paragraph 6: Replace "efficacy" with "the efficacy" …and so on 4. Appropriate numbering of the heads and sections may be done for ease in referring them. 5. Singh et al. (2015): The reference is missing. 6. Propiconazole 13.9% + Difenaconazole 13.9% is not the name of a formulation. Please mention the formulation (EC-Emulsifiable concentrate) in the respective column. 7. Please specify by mentioning whether the stock solution of the fungicide was prepared on formulation basis or on the basis of active ingredient.	

	8. If possible, a reference may be given for calculating the amount of the fungicide for its addition to the culture medium (PDA). 9. Materials and Methods: Consider the statement, “Observation on radial growth… earlier.” : Please specify the section where the method was described. 10. Please refer the formula used in calculating the inhibition (%) of the radial growth of the test fungus by various compounds. 11. In the details of the treatments, please specify the time (say, days after sowing) of the first spray of the fungicides. 12. Replace “poison food technique” with “poisoned food technique” in the manuscript 13. Data is the plural form. 14. When you write “disease control,” it is respective to and involves the check (control) in the calculation. Hence, writing “disease control over check (or control, maybe)” is technically incorrect. 15. Some parts of introduction (the work of other authors) have been repeated in the discussion. They might be removed from any one of the sections as per the suitability. 16. In the text it is Ahmed et al. (2007) whereas in the reference section, it is Ahmed (2007). Please remove the anomaly. 17. In the text it is Zamal (2007) whereas in the reference section, it is Zamal et al. (2007). Please remove the anomaly. 18. In the text it is Malakar and Milan (2009) whereas in the reference section, it is Malaker et al. (2007). Please remove the anomaly.	
Minor REVISION comments	Language errors are rampant. They must be taken care of with the help of a native English speaker/author.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	**Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Jai P Rai**
Department, University & Country	**Banaras Hindu University, India**