

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJECC_84238
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of zinc and iron on growth and productivity of summer mungbean
Type of the Item	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1:Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVIEW comments	<p>Theme:Interesting experimental topic with pertinent social impact.</p> <p>Summary.This section must state: objective of the research, hypothesis raised, state the method used and indicate the main conclusions reached with this study.</p> <p>In addition, it is written in the past tense as it is an element that describes the study carried out.</p> <p>Keywords.The UNESCO THESAUROS nomenclature allows this section to be worked with universal words in academic terms, which allow an efficient location of the work.</p> <p>Biotechnology, agricultural production, agrarian economy...</p> <p>Introduction.The development of this experimental theme reveals the problem raised, the benefits of the study as a social and economic contribution.</p> <p>Materials and methods.The methodology is well defined; it is necessary to indicate the applied research method and justify your choice academically.</p> <p>Results and Discussion.The results show that the experimentation carried out reaches the objective set on the growth and productivity of the bean... indicates that there were studies that support this experiment. It would be important to indicate the limitations that this work had in the case of existing and determine possible investigations that can be carried out based on this contribution.</p> <p>Conclusions.It is good to mention which study is specifically referred to, the treatise in the text or others carried out before this study (published or not).</p> <p>Bibliographic referencesBibliographical references are appropriate to the research.</p>	
Minor REVIEW comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeverson Santiago Quishpe Gaibor
Department, University & Country	Salesian Polytechnic University, Ecuador