

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_84137
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and development of groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) as influenced by different levels and timing of phosphogypsum nutrition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Your effort is valuable for collecting content and will take better shape with a general review.-in the description of traits, it is better to refer to other sources with similar results- It is better to mention the results of other researches about groundnut culture in the introduction (you have talked very little about this)	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Check spelling errors throughout the text- Use punctuation in the appropriate place (, -; -: etc.)- Scientific names of plants species should be written in <i>italics</i> throughout the text	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-Keywords in the article abstract should be arranged alphabetically-Modify the references format based on the journal format- Digital Object Identifier (Doi) for all references should be checked and write (We have reviewed them for more examples and brought them to you in the comment section.)-Use up-to-date references in the text (references from recent years in a similar way)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hadi Khavari
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran