

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_84137
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and development of groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) as influenced by different levels and timing of phosphogypsum nutrition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Introduction <ul style="list-style-type: none">The author needs to improve the writing.The author must improve the justification of why to use Phosphogypsum.Mention previous studies with Phosphogypsum either other crops and specify with numerical data. Materials and methods <ul style="list-style-type: none">Authors should not use abbreviations when the word is first mentioned to make the text understandable.The authors must make a table with the treatments. Results and discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none">The authors need to improve the wording, mention the best treatments, continue with the worst ones, and discuss the reason for the response of the plant to the treatments, and mention, with respect to previous studies, which ones are better and in which variable, without forgetting to explain possible causes with references based on the field of plant nutrition.It enriches the discussion with studies that have reported the use of Phosphogypsum in crop fertilization. Literature cited <ul style="list-style-type: none">In this section there are references that do not have the correct data.Other references do not have the year of publication.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Add photos of treatments and evaluations.Enhance the boxes in the document.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript provides valuable information, but requires major corrections for publication.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Felicia Amalia Moo Koh
Department, University & Country	Centro de Investigación Científica de Yucatán, Mexico