

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_82903
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on correlation and path coefficient for direct selection between pair of traits using green fruit yield as dependent characters in Okra.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. It is better increase the keywords</li><li>2. Arrange the keywords in alphabetical order</li><li>3. Please try to use latest references (you have used old references)</li><li>4. Try to use uniform font size accordingly throughout the whole paper</li><li>5. Include the statistical analysis result on the abstract</li><li>6. You have written the coefficient results by using (), but it is better if you another format like Table....Instead of using parenthesis or you can discuss only on the main points, main factors, major differences....</li><li>7. You should include the statistical analysis in the standard way, i.e write the title of analysis, the statistical software you used, the confidence interval....</li><li>8. You have to use uniform and standard space length in the paper for example you have used <b>0.60 mg</b> and <b>0.08mg</b> (check the space used for the whole paper)</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Rewrite and recheck the whole paper so that it will be good paper	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abraha Gebregewergis Weldehawaria
Department, University & Country	Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Ethiopia