

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_82901
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) Varieties under Different Sowing Dates on Growth and Productivity in Eastern Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Restructure the topic to capture the effect of varietal differences and planting dates on growth and productivity indices'</p> <p>The abstract should begin with the background of the study, followed by aim/objective. Show the problem and how you want to solve the problem before your results There is poor citation of materials used in introduction. A lot of statements were not backed with references The author needs to describe all the parameters measured and the method of measurement with relevant literature eg leave area, Plant height etc.</p> <p>There is need to Explain what D1.... D4 and V1....V6</p> <p>Also, there is error in the structure of ALL the graphs. It should be a graph of D against V. for each parameter measured. Such that a reader can easily see the level of growth of each variety V at specific day D. Most of the findings in Results and Discussion section requires suitable citations and references to back them up for authenticity. The author should Align the conclusion with the aim and objective of the work</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The author needs to cite and reference all the work used. Abstract should not be more than 250 words, concised and straight to the point. Objectives of the work needs to align with the conclusion to show the success of the research.</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>Author should endeavour to avoid plagiarism.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ariwaodo Chinenye Agnes
Department, University & Country	University of The Gambia, The Gambia