

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_81195
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Applications and Utility of Remote Sensing and Geographic Information Systems
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The work contains material similar to a large literary review, the purpose of the work is not clearly stated. Despite the large amount of cited material, not all possible areas of application of satellite methods are mentioned. The material presented in the paper requires more perfect work on cited sources, because the cited examples do not contain graphic material, such as partly taken from cited sources and explanation. It is not described even briefly how the data is processed and how it is obtained. All of the above evokes a feeling of incompleteness of the non-generalized accumulated significant material. The material must be systematized and generalized primarily for the possibility of its practical use.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The article should be more clearly divided into sections	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	After the amendments, the article should be shown to the reviewer to be published.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yuriy Starodub
Department, University & Country	Lviv State University of Life Sciences, Ukraine