# EFFECT OF WEED MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON NUTRIENT UPTAKE AND REMOVAL IN TRANSPLANTED RICE

#### Abstract

Different pre and post emergence herbicides, herbicide mixtures along with hand weeding in transplanted rice were evaluated at PJTSAU, Rajendranagar during Kharif season of 2019 in a randomized block design with three Collegereplications. (Write names of treatments) Farm, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University. Higher nutrient uptake by grain and straw were recorded with application of flopyrauxifen-benzyl (%or EC?)+ cyhalofop-butyl 10% EC 150 g ha ¹(PoE)\_fb hand weeding at 40 DAT and lower nutrient removal by weeds were recorded with application of herbicide mixtures along with hand weeding than single herbicide application followed by hand weeding. Where as in case of unweeded control lower nutrient uptake and higher nutrient removal by weeds over all the treatments

**Key words:** <u>Herbicide mixtures, Hand weeding, Nutrient uptake, Nutrient removal, Rice, Transplanted rice</u>

### **Introduction:**

Rice crop suffers from various biotic and abiotic production constraints. Weed infestation has been established as one of the important biotic factor responsible for lower productivity. The degree of competition and extent of yield losses vary greatly with period of weed competition. Weed competition under transplanted conditions caused yield reductions up to 45% (Manhas et al. 2012). Weeds compete with crop plants for moisture, nutrients, light, space and other growth factors. Fertilizer usage in rice and its consumption has increased substantially in the past decades. The quantity of rice grain produced per unit of applied fertilizer (partial factor productivity) has constantly decreased to very low values (Hemalatha et al. 2020). It has been observed that more than 60% of applied fertilizer was taken up by weeds which results lower nutrient availability for crop (Puniya et al., 2007). And the quantity of nutrient losses due to weeds again depends on the period of weed growth but, control of weeds in transplanted rice at

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

critical stages by hand weeding only is very difficult nowadays due to labour scarcity and higher wages. Usage of herbicides with single mode of action will not control broad spectrum of weeds (Write the problem of using single mode of action of herbicides over the years). So, for control of these broad spectrum weeds we need to depends on herbicides mixtures with different modes action and integrated with hand weeding will results effective control of weeds, lower nutrient removal—depletion by weeds and higher nutrient uptake by crop. In this context we need to investigate which herbicide mixture is most effective for control of weeds and higher nutrient use efficiency in transplanted rice.

#### Material and methods:

A field experiment was conducted at College Farm, College of Agriculture Rajendranagar, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad during Kharif- 2019. The farm is geographically situated at 17 <sup>0</sup>19' 16.4" North latitude and 780 24' 43" East longitudes and at an altitude of 542.3 m above mean sea level. According to troll's climatic classification, it falls under semi- arid tropics (SAT). The soil of experimental site was sandy loam in texture with p H of 7.85, low available nitrogen (235.2), medium phosphorus (38.8) and high potassium content-availability (379). The experiment was consisted of twelve weed management practices (add treatments here) laid out in randomized block design with three replications. RNR - 15048 (Telangana sona) variety was transplanted in main field on 8<sup>th</sup> August at the age of 28 days old seedlings with a spacing of 15 X 10 cm. All pre-emergence herbicides were applied within three days (on which day you apply herbicides) after transplanting and post emergence herbicides treatments were applied at 2-3 leaf stage of weeds(on which date). Weed and plant samples were collected for estimation of dry matter were used for nutrient analysis (Sentence not clear which method you employed for collection of weed sample on which day or at the DAT. Collection of weed and crop sample- both are differ in methods and time, Weed data transformed or not). These samples were dried (at what temperature and time) and ground to fine powder using willey mill and can be used for analysis of uptake of nutrients by crop and nutrient removal by weeds. Nitrogen content (%) in the plant and weed samples were estimated by the micro kjeldhal method (Jackson, 1979) using Kelplus N analyser after digesting the samples with H<sub>2</sub>SO4 and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Piper, 1966). The tri-acid (HNO<sub>3</sub> and HCLO<sub>4</sub>) in the ratio of (3:1) respectively digested plant and weed samples were analyzed for phosphorus and potassium. (How you have to calculated the nutrients uptake and depletion) The data was statistically analyzed.

## Results and discussion:

#### Effect on crop dry matter:

All the weed management practices significantly recorded higher crop dry matter production over control plot (Table:0\_1). The higher dry matter production were registered with

hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT which was statistically on par with flopyrauxifen- benzyl + cyhalofop butyl 10% EC 150 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT, penoxsulam 1.02% (20 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) + cyhalofop butyl 5.1% OD (100 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT and flopyrauxifen- benzyl + penoxsulam 12% EC 40.64 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT.

Among the weed management practices application of herbicide mixture *fb* hand weeding recorded higher growth parameters compared to single herbicides *fb* hand weeding (Which herbicide mixture). This might be due to control of complex weed flora in time and avoids competition so, resulted in higher tillers and crop dry matter production. Yadav *et al.* (2018).

#### Effect on nutrient uptake by grain and straw:

Higher nutrient uptake was noticed with herbicide mixture flopyrauxifen-benzyl + cyhalofop butyl 10% EC 150 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb hand weeding at 40 DAT which is statistically on par with hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT. Lower uptake of nutrient were recorded with un weeded control (Table: 1&2). In the present experiment, higher nutrient uptake by grain and straw due to better availability of resources that maintained the favorable environment for the crop with limited competition from weeds and availability of nutrients throughout the growth stages leading to better uptake of nutrients. The results were in accordance with findings of Singh et al. (2018) and Parameshwari et al. (2014). Phosphorus accumulation is more in grain compared to straw because of higher content of organic compounds like inositol phosphate, phospholipids, nuclic acids and phosphoprotiens ete (Yamaji et al., 2017).

#### Effect on weed dry matter:

Higher weed dry weight was recorded with un weeded control over all the treatments and lower weed dry weight was noticed Significantly the lowest weed dry matter was recorded with the application of broad spectrum herbicide mixture flopyrauxifen- benzyl + cyhalofop butyl 10% EC 150 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb hand weeding at 40 DAT. Initial herbicide application followed by hand weeding results in extended period of weed control will resulted in lower weed dry matter. Results are corroborated with the research finding of Mohapatra et al. (2017) and Sreedevi et al. (2018).

#### Effect on nutrient removal by weeds:

Unweeded control recorded significantly higher nutrient removal by weeds at 30 and 60 DAT than the other treatments (Table ÷3 & 4). At 30 DAT lower nutrient removal was observed

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

with treatment hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT which was statistically sam<u>ilare</u> to flopyrauxifen-benzyl + cyhalofop-butyl 10% EC 150 g ha <sup>-1</sup>(PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT, penoxsulam 1.02% @ 20 g ha <sup>-1</sup> + cyhalofop butyl 5.1 % OD @ 100 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT and flopyrauxifen-benzyl + penoxsulam 12 % EC @ 40.64 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT. Lower nutrient removal by weeds in weed management practices might be due to effective control of weeds during critical period and after that, weeds effectively suppressed by crop. Similar findings were reported by Gupta *et al.* (2019).

#### Conclusion:

From this investigation, the application of herbicides mixtures followed by hand weeding will results higher nutrient uptake by rice crop and lower-reduces the nutrient removal by weeds compared to their alone application single herbicide application—followed by hand weeding. Among all weed management practices application of flopyrauxifen-benzyl + cyhalofop-butyl 10% EC 150 g ha -1(PoE) fb hand\_weeding at 40 DAT was most effective onetreatment.

Table: 01 Influence of weed management practices on crop dry matter production and nutrient uptake (kg ha -1) by grain at harvest

'				- 11///	Formatted Table
					Formatted
Treatments	Dry matter	N	P	*// <sub>K</sub> /	Formatted
	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			<b>√</b> / /	Formatted
$T_1$ - Penoxsulam 0.97% (20 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + butachlor (38.8%) SE 820 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PE) fb HW at 30	DAT 13375	73.0	12.7	<b>30.0</b>	Formatted
$T_2$ - Pyrazosulfuron-ethyl 0.15 % (15 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + pretilachlor 6% GR (600g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) (PE) fb H	IW at 30 DAT 13517	75.0	14.3	<b>◆</b> 34.3	Formatted
T <sub>3</sub> - Orthosulfamuron + pretilachlor 6% (600g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) GR (PE) fb HW at 30 DAT	13453	74.0	13.0	<b>31.7</b>	Formatted
T <sub>4</sub> - Ipfencarbazone 25 % SC 156.25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PE) fb HW at 30 DAT	12568	64.0	11.3	<b>28.0</b>	Formatted
T <sub>5</sub> - Penoxsulam 2.65 % OD 25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) <i>fb</i> HW at 40 DAT	12474	62.0	10.5	<b>4</b> 27.3	Formatted
T <sub>6</sub> - Penoxsulam 1.02% (20 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + cyhalofop butyl 5.1% OD (100 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) (PoE) fb HV	W at 40 DAT 14663	81.0	16.0	<b>₹</b> 38.0	Formatted
T <sub>7</sub> - Pretilachlor (PE) 50 % EC 0.75 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> fb 2,4 D 1.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE)	11835	60.7	10.0	124.3	Formatted
T <sub>8</sub> - Bispyribac sodium 10% SC 25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT	12167	61.3	10.2	4 26.7	
T <sub>9</sub> - Flopyrauxifen- benzyl + penoxsulam 12% EC 40.64 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT	14448	79.0	15.5	37.0	Formatted
T <sub>10</sub> - Flopyrauxifen- benzyl + cyhalofop butyl 10% EC 150 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 D.	AT 14953	83.0	16.7	38.7	Formatted
T <sub>11</sub> -Hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT	15014	83.3	17.3	40.7	Formatted
T <sub>12</sub> - Unweeded control	7732	38.5	7.1	15.3	Formatted
SE(th)±	254.58	1.83	0.66	1.6	Formatted
CD (P=0.05)	746.5	5.37	1.94	4.68	Formatted
					Formatted

Formatted Formatted

**Formatted** 

Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted

Table :02 Influence of weed management practices on nutrient uptake (kg ha -1) by straw at harvest

Treatments	N	P	K	Formatted: Justified, Inden
T <sub>1</sub> - Penoxsulam 0.97% (20 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + butachlor (38.8%) SE 820 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PE) fb HW at 30 DAT	52.0	8.0	98:0	cm, First line: 0 cm, Line spa
$T_2$ - Pyrazosulfuron-ethyl 0.15 % (15 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + pretilachlor 6% GR (600g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) (PE) fb HW at 30 DAT	54.8	8.8	10±.0	single
T <sub>3</sub> - Orthosulfamuron + pretilachlor 6% (600g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) GR (PE) fb HW at 30 DAT	54.0	8.3	99:0	Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>4</sub> - Ipfencarbazone 25 % SC 156.25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PE)fb HW at 30 DAT	48.0	6.0	92:0	Formatted Table
$T_5$ - Penoxsulam 2.65 % OD 25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT	46.5	5.6	90:0	Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>6</sub> - Penoxsulam 1.02% (20 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + cyhalofop butyl 5.1% OD (100 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT	59.0	11.4	106.0	Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>7</sub> - Pretilachlor (PE) 50 % EC 0.75 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> fb 2,4 D 1.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE)	43.0	5.0	87:7	Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>8</sub> - Bispyribac sodium 10% SC 25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT	45.0	5.0	89:7\\	Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>9</sub> - Flopyrauxifen- benzyl + penoxsulam 12% EC 40.64 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT	57.7	11.0	103.3	Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>10</sub> - Flopyrauxifen- benzyl + cyhalofop butyl 10% EC 150 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT	61.7	12.0	108.0	1 3
T <sub>11</sub> -Hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT	62.0	12.6	110.0	Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>12</sub> - Unweeded control	32.3	4.6	51:3	Formatted: Line spacing: s
$SE(m)\pm$	2.05	0.51	2.75	Formatted: Line spacing: s
CD (P=0.05)	6.00	1.50	8.06	Formatted: Line spacing: s
			////	Formatted: Line spacing: s

Formatted: Line spacing:

Formatted: Line spacing: s Formatted: Line spacing: s

Formatted: Line spacing: s

Table: 03 Influence of weed management practices on weed dry matter (g m<sup>-2</sup>)and nutrient removal (kg ha <sup>-1</sup>) by weeds at 30 DAT

Treatments	Dry weight of weeds	N	<b>▼</b> I	Formatted: Justified, Line s
$T_1$ - Penoxsulam 0.97% (20 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + butachlor (38.8%) SE 820 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PE) fb HW at 30 DAT	3.9(14.5)	1.13	• 0	single
$T_2$ - Pyrazosulfuron-ethyl 0.15 % (15 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + pretilachlor 6% GR (600g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) (PE) fb HW at 30 DA	AT 3.8(13.3)	1.01	• 0.3	Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>3</sub> - Orthosulfamuron + pretilachlor 6% (600g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) GR (PE) fb HW at 30 DAT	3.9(14.0)	1.05	• 0	<b>Formatted:</b> Line spacing: s
T <sub>4</sub> - Ipfencarbazone 25 % SC 156.25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PE) <i>fb</i> HW at 30 DAT	4.2(16.8)	1.28	• 0.2	Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>5</sub> - Penoxsulam 2.65 % OD 25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT	4.3(18.0)	1.35	• \ 0.2	
$T_6$ - Penoxsulam 1.02% (20 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + cyhalofop butyl 5.1% OD (100 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT	Σ 2.9 (7.4)	0.63	<b>√</b> \0.2	
T <sub>7</sub> - Pretilachlor (PE) 50 % EC 0.75 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> fb 2,4 D 1.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE)	4.5(19.2)	1.44	$\sqrt{0.2}$	<u> </u>
T <sub>8</sub> - Bispyribac sodium 10% SC 25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT	4.4(18.6)	1.39		Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>9</sub> - Flopyrauxifen- benzyl + penoxsulam 12% EC 40.64 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT	2.9 (7.7)	0.69	4/\0/2	Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>10</sub> - Flopyrauxifen- benzyl + cyhalofop butyl 10% EC 150 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT	2.7 (6.3)	0.50	<b>→</b> \ \0.\	1 Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>11</sub> - Hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT	2.6 (6.0)	0.44	<b>→</b> \\0.\	Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>12</sub> - Unweeded control	8.2(65.7)	6.63	<b>→</b> /\\1./	1 Formatted Table
SE(m)±	0.17	0.09	<b>√</b> \ \ 0.0	Formatted: Line spacing: s
CD (P=0.05)	0.51	0.25	<li>√)/(0).0</li>	( <u>)</u>
* PE: application: 3 DAT, PoE: Application: 2-3 leaf stage of weeds ** Values in the parenth	lesis are original and $(\sqrt{x+1})$ tran	sformed	11111	Formatted: Line spacing: s
	2		/////	Formatted: Line spacing: s

Formatted: Line spacing: s
Formatted Table
Formatted: Line spacing: s
Formatted: Line spacing: s

Formatted: Line spacing: s

Table: 0\_4 Influence of weed management practices on weed dry matter (g m<sup>-2</sup>) and nutrient removal (kg ha <sup>-1</sup>) by weeds at 60 DAT

Treatments	60 DAT	N	P	<b>←</b> K	Formatted: Justified, Line s
$T_1$ - Penoxsulam 0.97% (20 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + butachlor (38.8%) SE 820 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PE) fb HW at 30 DAT	6.1(35.7)	2.93	0.93	<b>2.40</b>	single
$T_2$ - Pyrazosulfuron-ethyl 0.15 % (15 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + pretilachlor 6% GR (600g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) (PE) fb HW at 30	7.7(22.0)	2.00	0.04	1000	Formatted: Line spacing: s
DAT	5.7(32.0)	2.80	0.81	2.20	Formatted Table
T <sub>3</sub> - Orthosulfamuron + pretilachlor 6% (600g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) GR (PE) fb HW at 30 DAT	5.9(34.0)	2.83	0.92	<b>2.30</b>	Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>4</sub> - pfencarbazone 25 % SC 156.25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PE) <i>fb</i> HW at 30 DAT	6.2(37.0)	3.07	0.95	<b>2.63</b>	Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>5</sub> - Penoxsulam 2.65 % OD 25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT	4.0(15.3)	1.39	0.42	<b>1.19</b>	Formatted: Line spacing: s
$T_6$ - Penoxsulam 1.02% (20 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + cyhalofop butyl 5.1% OD (100 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) (PoE) fb HW at 40	3.8(13.3)	1.26	0.41	1 12	Formatted: Line spacing: s
DAT	3.0(13.3)	1.20	0.11	7.1-7	Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>7</sub> - Pretilachlor (PE) 50 % EC 0.75 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> fb 2,4 D 1.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE)	7.7(58.0)	4.20	1.24	<b>◆</b> 3.62	Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>8</sub> - Bispyribac sodium 10% SC 25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT	4.1(16.7)	1.43	0.44	<b>1</b> .24	
T <sub>9</sub> - Flopyrauxifen- benzyl + penoxsulam 12% EC 40.64 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT	3.9(14.3)	1.34	0.43	<b>◆</b> 1.14	
T <sub>10</sub> - Flopyrauxifen- benzyl + cyhalofop butyl 10% EC 150 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT	3.7(13.0)	1.23	0.41	<b>◆</b> 1.02	
T <sub>11</sub> -Hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT	3.6(12.0)	1.18	0.39	• 0.89	Formatted: Line spacing: s
T <sub>12</sub> - Unweeded control	12.1(144.)	10.13	3.48	<b>◆</b> 8.23	Formatted: Line spacing: s
$SE(m)\pm$	0.29	0.13	0.05	• 0.23	Formatted: Line spacing: s
CD (P=0.05)	0.87	0.44	0.15	• 0.69	Formatted: Line spacing: s
* PE: application: 3 DAT, PoE: Application: 2-3 leaf stage of weeds ** Values in the parenth	esis are original ar	nd $(\sqrt{x+1})$ transf	ormed		Formatted: Line spacing: s
	C				Formatted: Line spacing: s

## Literature cited Follow Journal style:

- Mohapatra, S, Tripathy SK, Tripathy S and Mohanty AK. Effect of sequential application of herbicides on productivity and profitability of transplanted rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 2021; *53*(2):129-134.
- Manhas SS, Singh G, Singh D and Khajuria V. Effect of tank-mixed herbicides on weeds and transplanted rice (Oryza sativa L.). Annals of Agricultural Research. 2012; 33: 25–31.
- Hemalatha K, Singh Y and Kumar S. Leaf colour chart-based nitrogen and weed management impacts on weeds, yield and nutrient uptake in dry direct-seeded rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2020; 52(4):318-321.
- Yadav DB, Singh N, Kumar J and Yadav A. Penoxsulam+ butachlor: A new ready-mix herbicide for control of complex weed flora in transplanted rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2019; 51(4): 324-327.
- Puniya R, Pandey PC, Bisht PS and Singh DK. Nutrient uptake by crop and weeds as influenced by trisulfuron, trisulfuron + pretilachlor and bensulfuron methyl in transplanted rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2007; 39 (3&4): 239-240.
- Piper CS. Soil and plant Analysis. Inter Science Publishers, New York. 1966.
- Jackson HL. Soil Chemical Analysis. *Prentice hall of India Private Limited*, New Delhi: 1979; 111-226.
- Yadav DB, Singh N, Duhan A, Yadav A and Punia SS. Penoxsulam+ cyhalofop-butyl (premix) evaluation for control of complex weed flora in transplanted rice and its residual effects in rice-wheat cropping system. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2018; 50(4): 333-339.
- Singh AK, Tomar SK and Singh DP. Bio-efficacy of herbicides and their mixture on weeds and yield of rice (Oryza sativa) under rice-wheat cropping system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2018; 63(2): 145-149.

- Parameswari YS and Srinivas A. Influence of weed management practices on nutrient uptake and productivity of rice under different methods of crop establishment. *Journal of Rice Research*. 2014;7(1): 77.
- Mohapatra S, Tripathy SK, Nayak BR and Mohanty AK. Efficacy of pre-emergence herbicides for control of complex weed flora in transplanted rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2017; 49(3): 216-218.
- Sreedevi B, Kumar RM, Singh A and Voleti SR. Assessing the efficacy of new low dose herbicide molecule in puddled direct seeded rice. *Journal of Rice Research*. 2018; 11 (2): 44-49.
- Gupta PK and Tomar AK. Weed management in transplanted rice with special reference to *Commelina benghalensis* in the Kymore Plateau Satpura hills region of Madhya Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2019;51 (3): 236-239.
- Yamaji N, Takemoto Y, Miyaji T, Mitani-Ueno N, Yoshida KT and Ma JF. Reducing phosphorus accumulation in rice grains with an impaired transporter in the node. *Nature*. 2017; *541*(7635):92-95.