### **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_75157
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing growth and yield parameters in mulberry through different levels of drip irrigation and mulching
Type of the Article	Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and	
	highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write	
Communicative DEV/ICION communication	his/her feedback here)	
Compulsory REVISION comments  i) The chatract of the auticle must be madified and the interaction affect of duin		
i) The abstract of the article must be modified and the interaction effect of drip irrigation and mulch to be included.		
ii) In materials and methods at what stage of plant growth mulching and drip irrigation must be cited.		
iii) In materials and methods, the formula for calculation of irrigation water requirement must be mentioned based on which the irrigation requirement will be calculated out.		
iv) How much quantity of water will be applied for each irrigation treatment based on CPE and at what interval? What is the total water requirement?  v) Quality parametes not included in the manuscript but cited in materials and		
methods.		
vi) Discussion part must be combined with results and justified after discussion of each results and should not be separate vii) In conclusion the total water requirement at 0.8 CPE for obtaining higher growth and yield of mulberry and successful silkworm coccon production during drier months must be cited for benefit of farmers.		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# **Review Form 1.6**

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Sanchita Brahma
Department, University & Country	Sarat Chandra Sinha College of Agriculture, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)