Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_88237
Title of the Manuscript:	Gastroprotective activity and acute toxicity of the aqueous extract of the trunk bark of Cylicodiscus gabunensis Harm. (Fabaceae)
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://journalijbcrr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	The article is not seen in the Journal article format	
	It has	
	Abstract, Introduction, Material and methods and Statistical analysis, Results and discussions	
	But The font and font size of these headings has not been maintained . its not clear to the reader	
	There is No conclusion	
	Statistical analysis paragraph appears truncated incomplete	
Minor REVISION comments		
	The references style and the way its mentioned in the manuscript does not seem as per the article	
	requirement.	
	Look into the format by SDI and modify the article accordingly	
	Be strict about the references style	
Optional/General comments	Rewrite the article to look as a scientific article	
	Certificate from Animal House about the compliance in proper handling of animal as 56 animals were	
	sacrificed for your research.	
	Ethical clearance for this is not found with the manuscript. Licence to handle animal with a trained animal supervisor is required	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vivek Ambade	
Department, University & Country	Armed Forces Medical College, India	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)