

Original Research Article

Nutritional quality and evaluation of some microbial flora of smoked fish sold in some public markets in the city of Abidjan (Côte d'Ivoire).

ABSTRACT

Objective : The general objective of this work is to evaluate the microbiological quality of some smoked fish sold in the markets of the city of Abidjan.

Methodology : The collection of samples for analysis took place in the markets of the communes of Cocody, les Illes Plateaux (Sococé) and Cocody Centre, Abobo, Adjamé, Williamsville and the big market Grand Marché. A quantity of 10 samples composed of 5 smoked fish per sample were collected by market in sterile plastic bags, then transported in a cooler containing ice to the laboratory to perform microbiological analysis.

insufficient methodology

Results : After various tests, different microbial flora of alteration were found in the smoked fish sold in the different markets of Abidjan. These are fungal flora (yeasts/molds), aerobic mesophilic germs and enterobacteria. All samples from the study markets were contaminated with these different microflora. The CFU load/g for mesophilic aerobic germs varied from 38.106 ± 12 to 65.106 ± 12 CFU/g. For the fungal flora, the load varied from 103 ± 11 to 284 ± 14 CFU/g. As for enterobacteria, the load oscillated from 183 ± 10 to 418 ± 11 CFU/g.

Smoked fish sold in the various public markets contain potentially pathogenic bacterial species, in particular *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. These two bacterial species are found in all samples with very different loads. The loads of *Escherichia coli* are very high and vary from 51 ± 12 to 86 ± 12 CFU/g whereas the standard only provides for 10 CFU/g. The loads of *S. aureus* vary between 125 ± 13 and 437 ± 13 CFU/g. These loads do not comply with the criteria set by the standard.

lack of results on nutritional quality

Conclusion : The analyses have identified the germs reflecting a lack of good hygiene practices such as spoilage and contamination flora, flora of faecal origin, in the smoked fish samples. The high presence of these germs would explain a lack of good hygiene practices in the different markets during the smoking process, which would represent a danger for the consumers. Thus, fish in the different markets of Abidjan studied must be well boiled before consumption.

Keywords: smoked fish, microbial quality, microbial, nutritional.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

1. Introduction

Fish is an important source of food and livelihood in the world, providing accessible protein to the vast majority of populations, especially for African populations [1]. It also plays an important role in the economy of these countries through trade and exports, particularly in the coastal states of West Africa, including Côte d'Ivoire.

In Côte d'Ivoire, it occupies an important place in the diet with a share of 50%, and represents between 15 and 16 kg/year of consumption per capita [2]. Fish provides high quality protein that is easy to digest and also helps combat micronutrient deficiencies. In addition, a 150 g portion of fish covers 50-60% of an adult's daily protein requirement [3]. Fish are rich in potassium and phosphorus and are a preferred source of water-soluble vitamins, notably B6 and B12, and fat-soluble vitamins, A, E and D. Along with milk and dairy products, they are the main dietary sources of iodine, contributing more than 8% of the average iodine intake of children [4]. Therefore, this food is accessible to low-income households, especially in developing countries where the price of meat remains beyond the reach of the average consumer [5].

Although fish is a vital resource. It remains a rapidly perishable commodity with a relatively high rate of spoilage due to its chemical-physical and microbiological characteristics [6]. However, to maintain the quality of fish over time, several preservation and processing techniques are used. These operations vary significantly depending on the country and dietary habits. The techniques generally used are freezing, drying, smoking, salting [3]. In addition, the lack of hygiene in production and

poor preservation considerably favor the microbial contamination of products. Thus, the contaminated fish obtained can be the cause of food poisoning [7].

Infectious foodborne diseases in Côte d'Ivoire are thought to be related to the presence of microorganisms in food. In addition, they constitute a public health problem that is widespread throughout the world and generate a social and economic problem that represents a threat for the population [8]. It is therefore important to find solutions to the risks of fish contamination. It is in this context that this work is registered. The general objective of this work is to evaluate the microbiological quality of some smoked fish sold in the markets of the city of Abidjan.

2. Material and methods

2.1 Sampling

The collection of samples for the analyses took place in the city of Abidjan. All samples were collected by purchase in some public markets of the city of Abidjan. These were the markets of Il- Plateaux Sococo, Cocody Center, the big market of Abobo, the market of Williamsville and the big market of Adjamé. The fish were collected in sterile tomascher bags. A quantity of 10 samples composed of 5 smoked fish per sample were collected per market. They were transported in a cooler containing ice to the laboratory for microbiological analysis. [the sample is small](#)

2.2. Microbiological analysis

A 25g sample of smoked fish is weighed around the Bunsen burner on a balance (KERN). A volume of 225 mL of sterile Buffered Peptone Water (BPW) is added. The whole is carefully mixed for 5 minutes. The resulting solution is left to stand for one hour. Approximately 1 mL of the stock solution is withdrawn near the Bunsen burner flame using a sterile graduated pipette and transferred to a test tube containing 9 mL of sterile distilled water. Five (05) successive dilutions ranging from 10^{-1} to 10^{-5} were performed [9].

2.3. Enumeration of the different microbial flora

The selected dilutions were plated. This is the plating in the mass which took into account the Sabouraud media with chloramphenicol, VRBL, PCA, BEA VRBG. One milliliter of each dilution obtained was introduced into the Petri dishes. A quantity of 20 mL of previously prepared medium is poured into the Petri dish. The whole is well homogenized. The plates are left on the bench for the solidification of the agar. Surface plating by spreading that considered E. coli Rapid 2 and Baird Parker media. A quantity of 0.1 mL of each decimal dilution concerned is placed in a Petri dish containing 20 mL of previously prepared and poured agar. The 0.1 mL is then spread on the agar surface using a sterile spreader. The solidified plates are incubated at 25°C for 7 days for yeasts and molds, at 30°C for 24 hours for total coliforms, at 30°C for 72 hours for aerobic mesophilic germs, at 37°C for 24 hours for Enterobacteriaceae and at 37°C for 24-48 hours for Streptococcus. Similarly, at 45°C for 24 h for the detection and enumeration of E. coli and 37°C for 24 to 48 h for the detection and enumeration of Staphylococcus aureus. The enumeration is significant when the number of germs found per plate is between 30 and 300 colonies for GAM, 15 and 150 colonies for streptococci, enterobacteria, yeasts and molds, coliforms, Staphylococcus aureus and E. coli [10; 11; 12; 13; 14; 15; 16].

2.4. Search for Salmonella

The search for Salmonella is carried out in four steps which are [17]:

Step 1: Pre-enrichment which consists in diluting 25 g of sample to be analyzed in 225 mL of EPT. The suspension obtained is left for about 30 minutes on the bench and then incubated at 37°C/24h.

Step 2: Enrichment which consists in putting 0.1 mL of suspension after 24h of incubation in 10 mL of sterile Rappaport de Vassiliadis broth previously prepared and poured in tube. The seeded tube is incubated at 44°C/18 to 24h.

Step 3: Isolation which consists of streaking on Hektoen medium previously prepared and poured on Petri dish at a rate of 20 mL is carried out from Vassiliadis Rappaport broth. The seeded plates are incubated at 37°C/24h.

Step 4: This last step consists of reading and identification. Colonies with black centers are taken into account for further work.

[lack of methods on nutritional quality](#)

3. Results

3.1 Microbiological quality of smoked fish

3.1.1. Tainting and contamination flora

Different microbial flora of alteration were found in the smoked fish sold in the different markets of Abidjan. These are fungal flora (yeasts/molds), mesophilic aerobic germs and enterobacteria. All the samples from the study markets were contaminated by these different microflora. However, except for yeasts and molds, the other parameters analyzed did not comply with the criteria set by the standard in force. The CFU load/g for mesophilic aerobic germs varies from $38.10^6 \pm 12$ to $65.10^6 \pm 12$ CFU/g. For the fungal flora, the loads vary from 103 ± 11 to 284 ± 14 CFU/g. As for the enterobacteria, the loads oscillate from 183 ± 10 to 418 ± 11 CFU/g (Table I).

Table I: Average loads of spoilage and contamination flora in smoked fish

| Samples | Average loads of microbiological parameters (cfu/g) | | |
|--------------------------|---|------------------|--------------------|
| | Mesophilic Aerobic Germs (MAG) | Yeast and Moulds | Enterobacteriaceae |
| E1 | $41.10^6 \pm 10$ | 284 ± 14 | 232 ± 11 |
| E2 | $50.10^6 \pm 11$ | 107 ± 13 | 183 ± 10 |
| E3 | $39.10^6 \pm 10$ | 196 ± 13 | 311 ± 11 |
| E4 | $38.10^6 \pm 12$ | 103 ± 11 | 264 ± 10 |
| E5 | $56.10^6 \pm 13$ | 142 ± 11 | 312 ± 12 |
| E6 | $42.10^6 \pm 14$ | 128 ± 11 | 418 ± 11 |
| E7 | $65.10^6 \pm 12$ | 189 ± 11 | 367 ± 10 |
| E8 | $58.10^6 \pm 10$ | 156 ± 12 | 309 ± 10 |
| E9 | $45.10^6 \pm 13$ | 168 ± 13 | 464 ± 12 |
| E10 | $57.10^6 \pm 12$ | 165 ± 16 | 548 ± 11 |
| Microbiological criteria | 10^6 CFU/g | 10^5 CFU/g | 10 FC/g |

3.1.2. Fecal contamination flora

The average loads of the smoked fish analyzed vary from one sample to another. All loads were above the microbiological quality standard criteria for fecal streptococci and fecal coliforms. Fecal coliform loads ranged from 214 ± 11 to 405 ± 10 CFU/g, while fecal streptococci loads ranged from 123 ± 11 to 196 ± 12 CFU/g. The standard called for a total absence of germs in the fecal streptococci (Table II).

Table II: Averageloads of fecal contamination flora in smokedfish

| Samples | Averageloads of microbiologicalparameters (CFU/g) | |
|-------------------------|---|-------------------|
| | Fecalcoliforms | FecalStreptococci |
| E1 | 234 ± 12 | 108± 11 |
| E2 | 299 ± 11 | 169 ± 11 |
| E3 | 253 ± 12 | 154 ± 12 |
| E4 | 214 ± 11 | 123± 11 |
| E5 | 333 ± 11 | 162± 11 |
| E6 | 352± 11 | 136 ± 12 |
| E7 | 405 ± 10 | 142± 12 |
| E8 | 333± 13 | 142± 12 |
| E9 | 340± 11 | 178± 11 |
| E10 | 352± 58 | 196± 12 |
| Microbiologicalcriteria | 10 ² CFU/g | Absence |

3.2 Potentiallypathogenicspecies

Smokedfishsold in the different public marketscontainpotentiallypathogenicbacterialspecies, notably *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. Thesetwobacterialspecies are found in all sampleswithvery diverse loads. The loads of *Escherichia coli* are very high and varyfrom 51 ± 12 to 86 ± 12 CFU/g whereas the standard onlyprovides for 10 CFU/g. The loads of *S. aureus* varybetween 125 ± 13 and 437 ± 13 CFU/g. Theseloads do not complywith the criteria set by the standard (Table III).

Table III: Averageloads of potentiallypathogenicspecies in smokedfish

| Samples | Averageloads of microbiologicalparameters (cfu/g) | |
|---------|---|------------------------------|
| | <i>Escherichia coli</i> | <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> |
| E1 | 69± 12 | 252± 13 |
| E2 | 70± 15 | 125± 13 |
| E3 | 62± 14 | 301 ± 13 |
| E4 | 51± 12 | 216 ± 13 |
| E5 | 61 ± 13 | 209 ± 11 |
| E6 | 75 ± 14 | 354 ± 12 |
| E7 | 66 ± 12 | 328± 13 |
| E8 | 71± 14 | 437 ± 13 |
| E9 | 83 ± 12 | 347± 12 |
| E10 | 76 ± 13 | 284± 14 |

| Microbiological criteria | 10 CFU/g | 10 ² CFU/g |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------|
|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------|

3.1 Pathogenic species: Salmonella

The genus *Salmonella* was present in the majority of the samples and in all the public markets in Abidjan that were used for the study. However, it should be noted that the genus *Salmonella* was absent from samples 1, 2 and 6 from the II-plateaux market and the Grand marché d'Abobo respectively (Table IV).

Table IV: Investigation of *Salmonella* genus in fresh and smoked fish

| Sites sampled | Samples analyzed | Smoked fish |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------|
| II-PLATEAUX | E1 | - |
| | E2 | - |
| COCODY CENTRE | E3 | + |
| | E4 | + |
| GRAND MARCHE ABOBO | E5 | + |
| | E6 | - |
| WILLIAMSVILLE | E7 | + |
| | E8 | + |
| GRAND MARCHE ADJAME | E9 | + |
| | E10 | + |

Presence : + , Absence : -

[lack of results on nutritional quality](#)

Formatted: List Paragraph, Justified

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Not Bold

4. Discussion

The present study allows to assess the microbiological quality of smoked fish sold in some public markets of the city of Abidjan. The microbiological analyses of the fish revealed the presence of various microorganisms. These are the flora of alteration and contamination, flora of faecal origin, potentially pathogenic bacterial species and pathogenic species.

Regarding the flora of alteration and contamination, we count the aerobic mesophilic germs (AMG) both in smoked fish and fresh fish with loads that exceed the microbiological standards. These loads are respectively evaluated between 38.10^6 and 65.10^6 CFU/g for smoked fish. This would be related to the conditions under which the fish are sold. Indeed, in these markets, smoked fish are sold in the open air and sometimes near garbage heaps and toilets with the remarkable presence of flies around the fish. These results are similar to those of a recent study conducted by [18] in Côte d'Ivoire and by [19] on smoked fish sold in the markets of Abomey Calavi.

As for yeasts and molds, they are present in smoked fish at very low levels compared to the microbiological standard. Their loads are between $1.03.10^2$ CFU/g and $2.84.10^2$ CFU/g. The results of the study are consistent with those of [18] who obtained low loads of Yeast and Molds in smoked fish.

The presence of enterobacteria in smoked fish would explain that the samples have undergone too much handling. This would be related to the insalubrity of the immediate environment.

As for the flora of faecal origin, all the loads are higher than the criteria set by the microbiological quality standard. The loads of fecal coliforms for smoked fish range from 214 ± 11 to 405 ± 10 CFU/g, while those of fecal streptococci vary from 123 ± 11 to 196 ± 12 CFU/g. The standard foresaw a total absence of germs at the level of fecal streptococci. The high presence of these flora could be explained by the fecal contamination of

humans and warm-blooded animals. These data are in agreement with those of the works [20] which counted germs of fecal origin, in particular fecal coliforms in smoked fish.

The different analyses performed on the smoked fish samples revealed the absence of salmonella in some samples. This could be explained by the fishing in unpolluted waters, the high temperature of smoking and the low water content in the smoked fish. These results are consistent with those obtained by [21] who also showed the absence of these germs in some smoked fish.

Other samples, however, showed the presence of Salmonella. Our results are in agreement with those of [22] who found the presence of these germs at 75% in fish samples. This compliance could be explained by poor handling of the fish.

For the potentially pathogenic species, the smoked fish samples analyzed are contaminated with *Staphylococcus aureus* and *E. coli*. In fact, smoked fish contains more *Staphylococcus aureus* germs with a load between 125 ± 13 and 437 ± 13 CFU/g. These results are not in conformity with the standard (10^2 CFU/g). Our results are similar to those of [23] who have shown by recent studies that the presence of *Staphylococcus aureus* could be due to human contamination of foodstuffs, given that this bacterium is commensal of the skin and mucous membranes of humans. This would show the non-compliance with good hygienic practices and the ineffectiveness of the product in these different markets. The results of the study are also different from those found by [24], which revealed the absence of these germs in samples of smoked fish. This difference could be due to the fact that the sources of supply, and the smoking conditions are different.

The results of the microbiological analysis of *Escherichia coli* revealed the presence of these germs in all the samples of the different markets studied. Moreover, these results do not meet the microbiological criteria (10 CFU/g). The presence of *Escherichia coli* in all the samples attests to a contamination of fecal origin. The results of this study do not agree with those of [25]. This would explain why the fish are not sold under the right conditions. Also, the lack of application of good hygiene practices accelerates bacterial proliferation and compromises the quality of fish sold in the different markets of the city of Abidjan. Moreover, these results are also consistent with those of previous work carried out by [26] who had detected the presence of these germs in smoked fish. This conformity could be due to the lack of respect for good hygiene practices and the unsanitary environment, as well as the stagnant water around the markets. This is therefore at the origin of the microbial contamination of the samples by *Escherichia coli*. In addition, smoked fish are kept in basins or baskets previously lined with paper or cardboard that has already been used for packaging. This could also be a source of contamination of the product by these pathogens.

4. Conclusion

The present study on smoked fish revealed the health risks associated with their consumption. The non-observance of good hygiene practices and the lack of hygiene at the smoking sites in the city of Abidjan are contrary to the required hygienic standards. The analyses identified germs that reflect a lack of good hygiene practices such as spoilage and contamination flora, flora of fecal origin, in the smoked fish samples. As for the potentially pathogenic species, *Escherichia coli* is present in smoked fish. The pathogenic species, *Salmonella* was detected in almost all samples of our analysis. The high presence of these germs would explain a lack of good hygiene practices in the different markets during the smoking process, which would represent a danger for consumers. Thus, fish in the different markets of Abidjan studied must be well boiled before consumption.

Références

1. FAO. (2016). The state of world fisheries and aquaculture, contribution to food security and nutrition, Rome, 229 pp.
2. Failler P., Ayoubi H. & Konan A. (2014). Fisheries and aquaculture industry in Côte d'Ivoire. Report n°7 of the review of the fisheries and aquaculture industry in the comhakat area, 99p.
3. FAO. (2018). The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2018. Achieving the sustainable development goals. Rome, 107p.
4. Girardet JP (2012). Nutritional benefit and potential risks of fish consumption. *Pediatric Realities*, 172: 4 p.
5. FAO. (2009). Code of Practice for Fish and Fishery Products (CAC/RCP 52-2003). Joint Fao Food Standards Programme Codex Alimentarius Commission, 173 pp.

6. Assogba M., Ahounou S., Bonou G., Salifou C., Dahouda M., Chikou A., Farougou S & Youssao A. (2018). Fish Flesh Quality: Factors of Variations and Impacts of Processing and Preservation Processes. *International Journal of Progressive Sciences and Technologies*. 10(2) :333-358.
7. Abotchi K. (2010). Evaluation of the microbiological quality of artisanally smoked fish in Togo. Master's thesis in human food quality from the Inter-State School of Veterinary Sciences and Medicine of Dakar, 46 p.
8. Ndoye F., Moity M. & Broutin C. (2002). From the canoeto the dish the smoked fish on the Small Senegalese Coast, Scientific study report, Senegal, 89p.
9. NF EN ISO 6887-1 (2017). Food microbiology - Preparation of samples, stock suspension and decimal dilutions for microbiological examination - Part 1: general rules for the preparation of stock suspension and decimal dilutions, 20p.
10. NF V08-050. (2009). Microbiology of foods. Enumeration of presumptive coliforms by counting colonies obtained at 30°C, 35p.
11. NF V08-060 (2009). Microbiology of foods. Enumeration of thermotolerant coliforms by counting colonies obtained at 44°C, 70p.
12. NF V08-051 (1999). Microbiology of foods - Enumeration of microorganisms by counting colonies obtained at 30°C - Routine method, 25p.
13. NF ISO 16649-2 (2001). Food microbiology - Horizontal method for the enumeration of β -glucuronidase-positive *Escherichia coli*. Part 2: Colony counting technique at 44°C using 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-glucuronate acid, 55p.
14. NF ISO 7899-2. (2000). Water testing - Search and enumeration of enterococci - General method by membrane filtration, 45p.
15. NF V08-054 (2009). Microbiology of foods. Enumeration of presumptive *Enterobacteriaceae* by counting colonies obtained at 30°C or 37°C, 19p.
16. NF EN ISO 6888-1. (1999). Microbiology of foods. Horizontal method for the enumeration of coagulase positive staphylococci (*Staphylococcus aureus* and other species). Part 1: Technique using Baird-Parker agar medium, 45p.
17. ISO 6579-1 (2017). Food chain microbiology - Horizontal method for the detection, enumeration and serotyping of *Salmonella* - Part 1: Detection of *Salmonella* spp.
18. Mouokeu S., Njinkoué M., Tchouboungang F., Mballa R., Matiostop T., Libam P., Ndu Messi B & Kuiaté J. (2018). Assessment of the level of bacteriological and chemical contamination of fish caught in Municipal Lake, Obili Lake and Mfoundi Stream, Yaoundé-Cameroon. *Journal of Applied Bioscience*, 125: 12607 – 12616.
19. Abeid A., Mennane Z., Hassan O & Ouhssine M. (2015). Microbiological study and identification of strains isolated from dried-piled fish (*Mugil cephalus*) "Lekhli". *Environ. Science*, 6 (4) 1142 - 1146.
20. Agbabiaka L.A., Kuforiji O.A., Ndumngwe O.E. (2016). Storage and microbial evaluation of sunfish (*Citharus linguatula* Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire 1809) pretreated with black pepper and oven dried. *Journal Aquaculture Ressource Développement*, 72p.
21. Oulaï F.S., Koffi A.R., Koussemon M., Dje M., Kakou C. & Kamenou A. (2007). Evaluation of the microbiological quality of traditionally smoked *Etmopterus* and *Sardinella aurita* fish. *Microbiology and Hygiene*, 19: 47-55.
22. Thiam A. (1993). Contribution to the study of the microbiological and chemical quality of braised-dried fish (ketiak) sold on the Dakar market. Thesis in Veterinary Medicine from the Inter-State School of Veterinary Sciences and Medicine (E.I.S.M.V.) of Cheikh Anta Diop University (Dakar), 105p.
23. Loir L. Y. & Gautier M. (2009). Lavoisier/Tec & Doc Monographs of microbiology, *Staphylococcus aureus* and food poisoning. *Genetic molecular research*, 2 : 63- 76.
24. Degnon G.R., Dougnon T.J., Toussou. S., Migan S.Y. (2012). Evaluation of the microbiological and physicochemical quality of fish caught and marketed at the industrial fishing port of Cotonou University of Abomey-Calavi, Polytechnic School of Abomey-Calavi, Department of Food Technology Engineering, *International Journal Biology Chemical Sciences*. 6(1): 166-174.
25. Degnon R.G., Agossou V.E., Agossou E.S., Dahouénon A.E., Soumanou M.M., Sohounhloué D.C (2013). Evaluation of the microbiological quality of horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*) during the traditional smoking process". *Journal of Applied Biosciences*, 67: 5210-5218.

26. Fujioka, R., Tenno, K. &Kansako, S. (1988). Naturallyoccurringfecalcoliforms and fecalstreptococci in hawaii'sfreshwaterstreams. *ToxicityAssessment*, 3(5) :613-630.

UNDER PEER REVIEW