Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_84906
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF COMBINED AQUEOUS LEAF EXTRACT OF Mentha spicata AND Murray Koenigii ON ACETAMINOPHEN (paracetamol) Induced Hepatic Damage on Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://journalijbcrr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract part	The result of th
	Your abstract lacks a preamble. Add a preamble. The following part of the abstract should not appear there, it is rather at the level of Materials and methods that these details should be highlighted: "Twenty five (25) wistar rats with weights range of 100g-130g were used for this study "They were obtained from the animal house of the Department of Biochemistry, University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. They were kept in the animal house of the University. They had free access to water and feed during the period of the experiment." Reformulate the abstract if possible by highlighting a preamble which gives the objective of the study, the material and the methods, the results and the conclusion without forgetting the key words which are not shown in your abstract.	
	Results part In the results part, you talk about tables 1 to 6, they are not tables but rather figures. Each figure must be preceded by a well-stocked commentary to make it easier for readers to understand. It lacks the statistical analysis at the level of your various figures. You should also highlight this statistical analysis in your comments. Comply with the guides of the authors of the journal.	
	Review the discussion as you bring out the results in this part of your study.	
	Review references according to the guide for authors: References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also be present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].	
Minor REVISION comments	In the introduction, you should not skip lines between paragraphs; It also lacks transition sentences between paragraphs.	
	In the materials and methods section, separate the plant material from the extraction. You do not specify the sex of the rats used in your study. Specify the dose of paracetamol used to induce liver inflammation. Also specify whether the treatment was done in preventive or curative mode. You don't mention whether you had ethics committee approval for the use of animals in your study.	
Optional/General comments	Format the article according to the authors' guideline.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ernest Nogma Sombie
Department, University & Country	Joseph KI-ZERBO University, Burkina Faso

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)