SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJBCRR_58508**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Bioactive constituents and biochemical assessment of Argemone mexicanna linn and Utrica dioca leaf extracts using male albino rat**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	It will be "Urtica dioica", not "Urtica dioica" and will be written in italics. It should be corrected in the entire text. It will be "Argemone mexicana linn", not "Argemone mexicanna lin" and will be written in italics. It should be corrected in the entire text. Introduction is very superficially written. An adequate reference screening should be made about the two plants that are the subject of the study and should be written in this section. No information was found as to whether the ethics committee approval was received. Who collected the plants, when, and which herbarium plants are stored, what is the herbarium number? In which way were plant extracts given to rats (per oral, intra peritoneal, etc.)? It should be stated which post hoc tests are used in statistical analysis. The newest reference is from 2014. A good reference scan should be made and the most recent references should also be added to the article.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Hanefi Özbek Istanbul**
Department, University & Country	**Medipol University, Türkiye**