



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_58508
Title of the Manuscript:	Bioactive constituents and biochemical assessment of Argemone mexicana linn and Utrica dioica leaf extracts using male albino rat
Type of the Article	Scientific research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Your tables need to be improved. Significant difference can be indicated by superscripts, and not asterisk. See comments on manuscript.</p> <p>State why the 300mg/kg BW was selected for the treatment. Was it daily given in feed, in water or by injection?</p> <p>Table 1 is not necessary as it was not in the experimental procedures. You did not describe preliminary study</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Leaves collected, please indicate old or young leaves.	
Optional/General comments	Conclusion should only relate to your objectives and findings	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul Razak Alimon
Department, University & Country	Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia