

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_80372
Title of the Manuscript:	On Size Evolution / Radiated Power of Extragalactic Radio Sources and Implication
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaliaarj.com/index.php/IAARJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This work seems to be a continuation of a series of previous articles that follow the same line of study. In this sense, it seems reasonable to me to make some self-citations but not in excess. Too many self-citations, as is the case, make the manuscript references impoverished. Some need to be removed and replaced with other references.	
Minor REVISION comments	Typographical errors and orthography: - Introduction: Correct the following marked words, "Milky way", "supermassive" - Introduction: "In some sources, the lobes contain hotspots which is generally..." must be in plural, "In some sources, the lobes contain hotspots which are generally .." - Place figure1 correctly. The nomenclature "theta-z plane" or "(P-z) data" can be misleading, as it looks like arithmetic nomenclature (Theta minus z). Replace it for example by eliminating the minus sign (-)	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Javier Joglar Alcubilla
Department, University & Country	Spain