

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_77015
Title of the Manuscript:	Stark widths and shifts of Rh II in Chemically Peculiar Stars
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract of the work, I suggest change the phrase Today, many data available by Over the past few years, many data available.</p> <p>In the introduction the authors explain the motivation of your propose that are based in stark broadening parameters, line width and shift, this measurement are of the field from astrophysical. However, the authors not include citations about the measurement of these parameters in the astrophysical field to show what the relevance and importance of your results in relation these measurements in the general relativity, for example.</p> <p>I believe that the authors must include and consider the commentaries make. Moreover, to try includes some discussions about the nucleosynthesis theory, because the work, talk about the chemical elements.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Fabiano F. Santos</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidade Federal Do Rio De Janeiro, Brazil</i>