

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_87654
Title of the Manuscript:	Young people's Knowledge of the Consequences of Using Toxic Cosmetics
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journali-srr.com/index.php/I-SRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to review this paper.</p> <p>Overall the study is a good idea and a priority. But it needs more justification in the introduction, methods, and discussion.</p> <p>I really strongly recommend to the authors to please do a thorough proofreading of the whole paper. There are many instances of typographical errors, especially of words that are conflated and make no sense.</p> <p>ABSTRACT</p> <p>The sampling method should be modified.</p> <p>In the abstract section, the results must be separated from the conclusion.</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>The introduction needs to be revised structurally.</p> <p>The contents of some paragraphs are repetitive.</p> <p>Have similar studies been conducted on the level of awareness of people about the toxic effects of cosmetics?</p> <p>In the last paragraph, it is better to refer to the gap in this field.</p> <p>Methods</p> <p>The sampling method needs to be reviewed and modified. What is the reason for choosing 12 students from 5 classes?</p> <p>It seems that according to the inclusion criteria, purposive sampling method has been used.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria must be Clarified.</p> <p>In the Methods section the Type of study is rather shortly described. Please provide details as to the general conduct of the study.</p> <p>What scale has been used to measure knowledge of chemical agents? Was this a standard scale? Did you use a validated scale? The level of knowledge has been measured? Please add this information.</p> <p>Please indicate exactly which analyses you have done in relation to each hypothesis.</p> <p>Please provide a good reason for using verbal consent.</p> <p>Results</p> <p>Merge Tables 1, 2, 3, 10, and 11 together.</p> <p>Merge Tables 4, 5, 7, and 8 together.</p> <p>Please avoid repeating the contents of the tables in the text.</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>Please write a more concise discussion of the findings. Avoid mentioning unrelated content.</p> <p>Please add study limitations section.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>Please add what you think is a strength of your research and what your results add to the current state of the research.What is the innovation of the present study?</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Azam Sharifi
Department, University & Country	Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Iran