

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_EJNFS_85532 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Comparative Studies on the Physicochemical Characteristics and Lipid Contents of Desert Date (Balanites aegyptiaca (L.) Del) Kernel and Pulp Oils |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejnfs.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Author reported studies on Comparative Studies on the Physicochemical Characteristics and Lipid Contents of Desert Date (Balanites aegyptiaca (L.) Del) Kernel and Pulp Oils. The manuscript is good innovative and would add little novelty to the literature. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Page 1: The reference (Akakuru et al., 2017) must be given a serial number within the reference numbering. Paragraph 'Apparatus' should be inserted. Procedure part should be written more clearly. The purity of all chemicals and origin should be mentioned. In the tables, some RSD% values are rejected because they exceed than 5%. The number of samples analyzed (N=?) should be included in each table. The work needed more accuracy in finding results. All Tables should be formatted. All references should be written in the same style and according to the type of journal. In most places. English needs to be polished. | |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript is generally interesting and well written. My overall opinion on the text is positive and I have found only few technical issues that should be corrected. | |

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| <i>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</i> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Name: | Safwan Ashour |
| Department, University & Country | Turkey |