Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_79869
Title of the Manuscript:	Formulation of Adequate Complementary Food for Children 0–24 months Using Local Staple Foods
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalejnfs.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

Reviewer's comment		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
7	 Re-revise manuscript Reference re-revise Manuscript should be accordingly with journal guide line Describe A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I and J If A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I and J are formula should be mention in table like (A Formula) In nutrient analysis proximate analysis at-least define the standard method in your words with AOAC [6] reference. How much stabilities of this complementary food? I think it should be mention in abstract. Add current reference 	
2 3	 Check grammar Check plagiarism Mention some photograph of this study I think, should be mention the statistical analysis table in the appendix format in the last of this paper. References are not in proper sequence Should add acknowledgment 	
	. Keywords add . Mention yellow maize, rice, potatoes, egg whites, soybeans, pawpaw, watermelon, pineapple and oranges qualities in introduction	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meenakshi
Department, University & Country	Career Point University, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)