Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_89428
Title of the Manuscript:	Soursop (Annona muricata L.) Fruit Peels as Source of Phenolic Constituents and Annonacin with Biological Activities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's com manuscript an mandatory tha
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH•), linoleic acid, β-carotene, tween[®] 40, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), gallic acid, rutin, caffeic acid, 5-caffeoylquinic acid, arcabose, orlistat, pancreatic lipase, pancreatic α-amylase, and α-glycosidase (Sigma Chemical Co, St. Louis, MI, USA); correct a(2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH•), linoleic acid, β-carotene, tween[®] 40, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), gallic acid, rutin, caffeic acid, 5-caffeoylquinic acid, acarbose, orlistat, pancreatic lipase, pancreatic α-amylase, and α-glycosidase (Sigma Chemical Co, St. Louis, MI, USA); 2. This assay has been used to assess the toxicity of acetogenins present in plants of the Annonaceae family.correct as (This assay was used to assess the toxicity of acetogenins present in plants of the Annonaceae family.) 3. In this Figure, these values were significantly different (<i>P</i> < 0.001) when compared to orlistate (289.07 ± 3.65 µg/mL) and these extracts were more potent in inhibiting pancreatic lipase. Correct as In this Figure, these values were significantly different (<i>P</i> < 0.001) when compared to orlistat (289.07 ± 3.65 µg/mL) and these extracts were more potent in inhibiting pancreatic lipase. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed wit
		that part in the manuscript. It is manuscript
		feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nitika Hans
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, India

nment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the nd highlight that part in the manuscript. It is at authors should write his/her feedback here)

th reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight nandatory that authors should write his/her