Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_89428
Title of the Manuscript:	Soursop (Annona muricata L.) Fruit Peels as Source of Phenolic Constituents and Annonacin with Biological Activities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is an excellent approach to thoroughly characterize left over fruit peels. The aim is to characterize each fraction upon chemical extraction. Each fraction has different properties which would in principle determine a different action over different physiological processes. It is a tough research area in circular economy though there are no fully approved products. I would suggest to determine whether different batches of peels render the same results, that is composition of each fraction has for example, the same amount of antioxidants? It is important that the authors standardize as soon as possible the extraction method and are able to guarantee reproducibility of results from different batches of fruit peels from year to year. The study took place from 2012 to 2016 but it only mentions material collection in January to February 2012	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with that part in the manuscript. It is man feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Florencia Tevy
Department, University & Country	Chile

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

ith reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight nandatory that authors should write his/her