Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_84726
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICALS AND ANTIMICROBIAL EVALUATION OF Tapinathus bangwensis Leaves
Type of the Article	Research Articke

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		,
	-Provide the GC-MS chromatograms. If not in the main body, attach as supplementary materialsIndicate the specifications of all the solvents and chemicals used -Write the scientific names correctlyProvide a supplementary information to the chemical structures of the reported compounds -The molecular mass reported in Table 3 should not be rounded off to whole number as this reflects the resolution of the instrument. As currently presented, the GC-MS result appears to be poorly resolved.	
Minor REVISION comments	-Scientific names are not correctly written -Column headers of Table 1 should be edited so that it can be easily read -Why does the precision of the percentage yield report differ? One decimal place for extract and butanol but two for hexane and EtOAc. Did the analyses differ in the methods? If not, consistency is importantThe quality of the tables can be improved. The texts on columns 2 and 5, Table 2 are not properly spaced. The molecular formulas are not correctly presented (i.e., subscripts)the row header for Table 2 should appear on the next pages of the tableSee manuscript for typographical errors (highlighted in yellow)	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ruel Cayona
Department, University & Country	Mindanao State University, Philippines

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)