

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_83921
Title of the Manuscript:	MEDICINAL PLANTS CLAIMED FOR NOOTROPIC ACTIVITY AND VARIOUS ANIMAL MODELS TO SCREEN THEM : A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Following review article entitled "MEDICINAL PLANTS CLAIMED FOR NOOTROPIC ACTIVITY AND VARIOUS ANIMAL MODELS TO SCREEN THEM : A REVIEW" is not not a complete review of medicinal plants having Nooyropic activity</p> <p>Author has to do complete review of literature</p> <p>Throughout the manuscript the Binominal name hasn't followed correctly</p> <p>In the Animal study section Authors are requested add Animal model studies</p>	<p>At this current situation the manuscript can't be accepted for recommendation for Publication – It need revision</p> <p>Authors are requested to follow following articles “</p> <p>1.Medicinal plants with potential nootropic activity: A review - Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences</p> <p>2.AN UPDATED REVIEW ON HERBAL DRUGS: NOOTROPIC ACTIVITY AND POSSIBLE MECHANISMS – Asian Journal Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.6**

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Kannan Kilavan Packiam
Department, University & Country	Bannari Amman Institute of Technology, India