

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_81260
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VIVO CHEMOSUPPRESSIVE EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT RATIO COMBINATIONS OF THREE NIGERIAN ANTIMALARIAL PLANTS ON PLASMODIUM BERGHEI BERGHEI PARASITE IN MICE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Hello dears</p> <p>This article has been thoroughly reviewed for review and the following points will be sent to you:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The subject of the article is almost well written and in line with it.2. Adequate introduction is written and the generalities of the work are given in a few lines before the materials and methods.3. It is suggested to bring the tables in a figure(s) as a comprehensive evaluation and compare the values.4. Investigate plagiarism.5. In some words and phrases, grammatical points must be checked and corrected.6. In the materials section of the methods, the reason for using the method should be explained, because after the short research, there were other methods.7. It is also recommended to add some newer references.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ebrahim Alinia-Ahandani
Department, University & Country	Payame Noor University of Tehran, Iran