

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>                          |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_EJMP_80434   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Medicinal Plants Used for management of Asthma in FCT, Abuja and Its Environs |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | There are no clinical data on the initial status of the patients and the outcome after using the plants as a treatment approach   |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Citation (eg. 8) should be brief, only with the first author</li><li>- Before using the abbreviation (eg. FCT) the entire name should be written</li><li>- In fig 2.0. the numbers are percentage probable...</li><li>- Fig 3.0.: numbers are percentage? The letters (eg. R, S,F...) should be explained in a legend</li><li>- -fig 5.0 .: numbers are percentage?</li><li>- In the "results" section it is not clear if the different plants were used by itself or in combination; we have some comment in the "discussion"</li><li>- In discussion as well as in the introduction anti-inflammatory properties were mentioned but this effect was not assessed in the study</li></ul> |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | A good synthesis, well documented of the existing plants in the area and their theoretical effects  |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | Paraschiva Cherecheș-Panța  |
| Department, University & Country | University of Medicine and Pharmacy, “Iuliu Hatieganu” Cluj-Napoca, Romania |