Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_88282
Title of the Manuscript:	RECENT DEVELOPMENT OF BIOMASS AND PLASTIC CO-PYROLYSIS FOR SYNGAS PRODUCTION
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

Minor REVISION comments 1 On what basis author choose plastic other than due to its abundancy. Is there any scientific explanation to justify it. 2 Do not use abbreviation in abstract (etc CO ₂). Please spell it out. 3 What is the elemental composition of MSW that able them to be pyrolyzed to syngas. Do different sources of MSW gives different composition of MSW? Please elaborates more. 4 A details discussion on the optimum parameters involves in production of syngas would be meaningful. 5 The details on mechanism of co-pyrolysis of biomass and plastic materials releasing syngas would be more meaningful. 6 Please draw the mechanism of action of co-pyrolysis process to make them more professional.	the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
On what basis author choose plastic other than due to its abundancy. Is there any scientific explanation to justify it. Do not use abbreviation in abstract (etc CO ₂). Please spell it out. What is the elemental composition of MSW that able them to be pyrolyzed to syngas. Do different sources of MSW gives different composition of MSW? Please elaborates more. A details discussion on the optimum parameters involves in production of syngas would be meaningful. The details on mechanism of co-pyrolysis of biomass and plastic materials releasing syngas would be more meaningful. Please draw the mechanism of action of co-pyrolysis process to make them more professional.	
7 More information about the analytical methods should be provided. 8 Detail discussion on reactor configurations that affecting the pyrolysis performance would be meaningful. 9 References not up to dates. Optional/General comments	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Siti Roshayu Binti Hassan
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, Malaysia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)