

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_89761
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Operating Model for the Design of Stirrer Arms of Slurries: Empirical Evaluation of Stirrer Arms
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>**The table should be mentioned in the body of the paper and labelled in journal sequence order as Table 1 not as Table 1.1</p> <p>**The Equations should be mentioned in the body of the paper and labelled in journal sequence order as Equation 1 not as Equation 1.1</p> <p>**The references listed should be arranged properly</p> <p>**The journal references format should be followed strictly</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>**The table should be mentioned in the body of the paper and labelled in journal sequence order as Table 1 not as Table 1.1</p> <p>**The Equations should be mentioned in the body of the paper and labelled in journal sequence order as Equation 1 not as Equation 1.1</p> <p>**The references listed should be arranged properly</p> <p>**The journal references format should be followed strictly</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>General Comments</p> <p>The Title is appropriate</p> <p>The manuscript is well organized and structured</p> <p>The introduction is well detailed</p> <p>The methodology is well spelt out</p> <p>The results and discussion are presented accurately</p> <p>The conclusion is properly written</p> <p>The references are well cited in the body of the article</p> <p>**The table should be mentioned in the body of the paper and labelled in journal sequence order as Table 1 not as Table 1.1. Applicable to others</p> <p>**The Equations should be mentioned in the body of the paper and labelled in journal sequence order as Equation 1 not as Equation 1.1. Applicable to others</p> <p>**The references listed should be arranged properly</p> <p>**The journal references format should be followed strictly</p> <p>Recommendation: Minor Revision</p> <p>Overall: 65 %</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>General Comments The Title is appropriate The manuscript is well organized and structured The introduction is well detailed The methodology is well spelt out The results and discussion are presented accurately The conclusion is properly written The references are well cited in the body of the article</p> <p>**The table should be mentioned in the body of the paper and labelled in journal sequence order as Table 1 not as Table 1.1. Applicable to others</p> <p>**The Equations should be mentioned in the body of the paper and labelled in journal sequence order as Equation 1 not as Equation 1.1. Applicable to others</p> <p>**The references listed should be arranged properly **The journal references format should be followed strictly</p> <p>Recommendation: Minor Revision Overall: 65 %</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tunji John Erinle
Department, University & Country	Federal Polytechnic, Nigeria