

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_88880
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF IRON FORMULATIONS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF MAIZE CROP
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The grammar should check in many lines2. References should be uniformly written, some with short form and some are in full form, please repair it as accordingly3. Material and methods should be written in standard way4. Standard unit of measurement should be checked again before finalization.5. at least some line of introduction and discussion required in order to understand the concept.6. Plant name should written in italic font	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Check the font of text Method of statistical analysis should be mentioned in detail Please elaborate discussion part if possible Material and methods should rewrite effectively and no need to mention the information already given in the tables.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Excellent and clear tables were given. Novel idea for iron formulations methods . Since the idea of these formulation may useful for improving maize performance . Maize crop are cash crop and cultivating many parts of India and other nations. Therefore improving their yield and quality in future is prime requirement in order to increase farmers income as well as providing good quality of food to nations. The part /line/ward that have been focused or highlighted should either replaced, delete, repaired/ properly arranged accordingly Or The entire line should be replaced with proper/ relevant meaning. Relevant references needs for the improvement of discussion. The result were very good presented and hence it should be elaborated with significant and relevant few more references in the part of discussion.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. S. Undal
Department, University & Country	Ghulam Nabi Azad College, India