

## Original Research Article

### **EFFECT OF IRON FORMULATIONS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF MAIZE CROP**

#### **ABSTRACT**

Chelated iron formulations are gaining importance due to their higher use efficiency and favourable effect on crop growth and yield. At present, chelated iron formulations prepared using synthetic chelating agents are used by farmers which are harmful to the ecosystem. Hence, an attempt made in the present study to develop new chelated iron formulations using citric acid, a naturally occurring organic acid. Newly developed TNAU Fe citrate (10.9 % Fe) formulation was tested in comparison with Ferrous sulphate and commercial Ferric citrate. Nine treatments replicated thrice in Randomised Block Design (RBD). The treatments included control (NPK alone), soil application (basal) of  $\text{FeSO}_4$  @  $9.5 \text{ kg Fe ha}^{-1}$ , TNAU Fe citrate and commercial Ferric citrate @  $0.95$  and  $1.9 \text{ kg Fe ha}^{-1}$ , foliar spray of  $1.0 \%$   $\text{FeSO}_4$ , TNAU Fe citrate and commercial Ferric citrate thrice on 30, 40 and 50 days after sowing (DAS). The results revealed that foliar application of Fe fertilizers outperformed soil application due to the calcareous nature of the experimental soil. Among the Fe formulations, foliar spray of  $1\%$  TNAU Fe citrate recorded highest yield attributes (cob length, no. of grains /cob, 100 grain weight), grain and stover yield, net income and B:C ratio.

**Key words :** Iron chelates, Maize, Yield, Economics, Soil iron

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The efforts of achieving self sufficiency in food production in India have been challenging. United Nations population report says that by the year 2050, world human population will reach 9.7 billion, India's population is expected to overtake that of China will raise to 1.6 billion from the current level of 1.2 billion. A challenge for agricultural scientists is to feed the world population with nourishing food. Micronutrient deficiencies are major constraints in crop production in the present day agricultural programmes.

Fe is involved in many enzymatic transformations in plants, including the enzymes related to chlorophyll synthesis, and hence Fe deficiency results in characteristic chlorosis symptom. Fe is a structural component of porphyrin molecules. Fe deficiency in soil not only reduces the productivity but also reduces the concentration of Fe in the edible parts.

Therefore, Fe deficiency leads to nutritional problems in human beings, especially in the developing countries where cereals are the staple food.

Ferrous sulphate which is the major source of iron to plants has the problem of getting converted in to unavailable forms and hence has lower use efficiency. Chelated forms of iron fertilizers showed higher use efficiency than sulphate forms and hence can be applied at 8 to 10 times lesser dose than their corresponding salts. In chelates, the metal is surrounded by organic molecules that it cannot react with other substances and converted in to insoluble forms. Vempati and Loeppert [1] observed that when compared to the inorganic form such as sulfates, chelates are most effective. The absorption of ions is enhanced by chelating agents. Alloway [2] reported that chelated fertilizers are widely used for foliar applications, compatible for tank mixing with many pesticide and fungicide formulations, but more expensive than inorganic compounds. In this study, new chelated Fe formulation using citric acid as chelating agents was developed and evaluated with maize crop.

## **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A field experiment was conducted at Eastern Block farm of Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during 2019 to evaluate the effect of newly developed chelated Fe formulation on the performance of maize (TNAU Maize hybrid CO6) crop. Newly developed TNAU Fe citrate (10.9 % Fe) formulation was tested in comparison with Ferrous sulphate and commercial Ferric citrate. Nine treatments replicated thrice in Randomised Block Design (RBD). The treatments included control (NPK alone), soil application (basal) of  $\text{FeSO}_4$  @  $9.5 \text{ kg Fe ha}^{-1}$ , TNAU Fe citrate and commercial Ferric citrate @  $0.95$  and  $1.9 \text{ kg Fe ha}^{-1}$ , foliar spray of  $1.0 \%$   $\text{FeSO}_4$ , TNAU Fe citrate and commercial Ferric citrate thrice on 30, 40 and 50 days after sowing (DAS).

Soil Test Crop Response (STCR) based NPK fertilizer dose for Maize hybrid for a yield target of  $9 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  was  $259$ ,  $96$  and  $38 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  N,  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$  and  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$  respectively. NPK fertilizers and  $\text{ZnSO}_4$  @  $25 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  to correct the available Zn deficiency in the experimental soil were applied to all treatments. Necessary crop protection measures were taken up. Observations on yield attributes such as cob length, number of grains  $\text{cob}^{-1}$ ,  $100$  grain weight, grain and stover yield were recorded. Post harvest soil samples collected from field experiment were analyzed for DTPA-Fe [3]. Economics of different treatments viz., net income and B:C ratio were worked out. The data recorded were subjected to statistical analysis as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme [4].

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The physico chemical properties of experimental soil are given in Table 1. The experimental soil belongs to Periyanaickenpalayam series coming under the taxonomic classification fine, montmorillonitic, isohyperthermic, calcareous Typic Haplustert. The texture of the soil was clay loam. The experimental soil was calcareous, alkaline in reaction (pH 8.07) with permissible amount of soluble salts (EC 0.24 dS m<sup>-1</sup>). The organic carbon content of the soil was low (4.79 g kg<sup>-1</sup>). The available N, P and K content of the soil were low (134 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium (16.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and high (657 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) respectively. With respect to micronutrients, the soil was deficient in DTPA-Zn (0.60 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), DTPA-Fe (2.27 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), DTPA-Cu (0.89 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and sufficient in DTPA-Mn (5.08 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>).

**Table 1. Properties of Initial Soil Sample**

pH		:	8.07
EC	dSm <sup>-1</sup>	:	0.24
Organic Carbon	g kg <sup>-1</sup>	:	4.79
Available N	kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	:	134
Available P		:	16.7
Available K		:	657
DTPA-Fe	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	:	2.27
DTPA-Zn		:	0.60
DTPA-Mn		:	5.08
DTPA-Cu		:	0.89

#### 3.1. Yield attributes and yield of maize

The treatment foliar spray of 1.0 % TNAU Fe citrate (T<sub>8</sub>) registered significantly highest cob length of 20.6 cm which was on par with foliar spray of 1.0 % commercial Ferric citrate (T<sub>9</sub>) (Table 2). The treatments soil application of 1.9 kg Fe ha<sup>-1</sup> as TNAU Fe citrate (T<sub>4</sub>) and as commercial Ferric citrate (T<sub>6</sub>), soil application of 9.5 kg Fe ha<sup>-1</sup> as FeSO<sub>4</sub> (T<sub>2</sub>) and foliar application 1% FeSO<sub>4</sub> (T<sub>7</sub>) recorded comparable cob length. Lowest cob length of 13.8 cm was observed in control (NPK alone - T<sub>1</sub>) and it remained on par with soil application of 0.95 kg Fe ha<sup>-1</sup> as TNAU Fe citrate (T<sub>3</sub>) and as commercial Ferric citrate (T<sub>5</sub>). Regarding number of grains per cob, the treatment foliar spray of 1.0 % TNAU Fe citrate (T<sub>8</sub>) recorded significantly highest value of 292 followed by the treatment foliar spray of 1.0 % commercial Ferric citrate (T<sub>9</sub>) which were statistically comparable. Lowest number of grains per cob

(234) was observed in control (NPK alone - T<sub>1</sub>). Soil and foliar application of Fe formulations did not have marked influence on the 100 grain weight of maize. However, the values varied from 34.9 to 40.2 g.

With respect to grain yield, foliar spray of 1.0 % TNAU Fe citrate (T<sub>8</sub>) recorded significantly highest value of 7065 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by foliar spray of 1.0 % commercial Ferric citrate (T<sub>9</sub>) (6904 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) which were on par. Statistically comparable grain yield was registered in the treatments soil application of 1.9 kg Fe ha<sup>-1</sup> as TNAU Fe citrate (T<sub>4</sub>) and as commercial Ferric citrate (T<sub>6</sub>), soil application of 9.5 kg Fe ha<sup>-1</sup> as FeSO<sub>4</sub> (T<sub>2</sub>) and foliar application of 1% FeSO<sub>4</sub> (T<sub>7</sub>). Lowest grain yield of 5857 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was observed in control (NPK alone - T<sub>1</sub>) which was comparable with soil application of 0.95 kg Fe ha<sup>-1</sup> as TNAU Fe citrate (T<sub>3</sub>) and as commercial Ferric citrate (T<sub>5</sub>).

Regarding stover yield, significantly highest value of 12583 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was observed with foliar spray of 1.0 % TNAU Fe citrate (T<sub>8</sub>) followed by foliar spray of 1.0 % commercial Ferric citrate (T<sub>9</sub>) which were statistically comparable. Stover yields recorded in the treatments soil application of 1.9 kg Fe ha<sup>-1</sup> as TNAU Fe citrate (T<sub>4</sub>) and as commercial Ferric citrate (T<sub>6</sub>), soil application of 9.5 kg Fe ha<sup>-1</sup> as FeSO<sub>4</sub> (T<sub>2</sub>) and foliar application 1% FeSO<sub>4</sub> (T<sub>7</sub>) were statistically on par. Lowest stover yield of 10279 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was noticed in control (NPK alone - T<sub>1</sub>).

**Table 2. Effect of Fe chelates on yield attributes and yield of maize**

Treatments	Cob length (cm)	No. of grains/cob	100 grain weight (g)	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stover yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub> - Control (NPK alone)	13.8	234	34.9	5857	10279
T <sub>2</sub> - 9.5 kg Fe ha <sup>-1</sup> as FeSO <sub>4</sub>	18.6	261	38.3	6403	11212
T <sub>3</sub> - 0.95 kg Fe ha <sup>-1</sup> as TNAU Fe citrate	16.5	260	38.0	6225	11007
T <sub>4</sub> - 1.9 kg Fe ha <sup>-1</sup> as TNAU Fe citrate	19.3	273	39.4	6638	11703
T <sub>5</sub> - 0.95 kg Fe ha <sup>-1</sup> as commercial Ferric citrate	15.9	252	37.7	6188	10934
T <sub>6</sub> - 1.9 kg Fe ha <sup>-1</sup> as commercial Ferric citrate	18.8	266	38.9	6592	11674
T <sub>7</sub> - Foliar spray of 1.0 % FeSO <sub>4</sub> *	18.3	264	38.5	6307	11025

T <sub>8</sub> - Foliar spray of 1.0 % TNAU Fe citrate*	20.6	292	40.2	7065	12583
T <sub>9</sub> - Foliar spray of 1.0 % commercial Ferric citrate *	20.1	279	39.7	6904	12324
SEd	0.5	9	1.7	192	330
CD (P=0.05)	1.1	18	NS	400	688

\*thrice on 30, 40 and 50 DAS

It was already reported by Ziaieian and Malakouti [5] and Abbas *et al.* [6] that iron fertilization significantly increased the yield attributes and grain yield of wheat. The best performance of foliar application when compared to soil application might be due to the calcareous nature of the experimental soil. Supremacy of foliar application of chelated fertilizers over soil application was already documented by Guodong Liu *et al.* [7]. Wissuwa *et al.* [8] observed increased yield of crops with foliar application of Zn, Fe and Mn. Foliar nutrition is an option where nutrient deficiencies cannot be corrected by soil application of nutrients [9]. Kandoliya *et al.* [10] reported that foliar nutrients usually penetrate the leaf cuticle or stomata, enters the cells facilitating easy and rapid utilization of nutrients, increases photosynthetic pigments, growth and yield of wheat. Fe citrate treatment recorded the highest significant values of fodder yield when compared to other types of chelates and FeSO<sub>4</sub> [11]. The results of this study are in line with the findings of Mansour *et al.* [12] and Alvarez Fernandez [13]

### 3.2. Post harvest soil Fe status

Soil application of 1.9 kg Fe ha<sup>-1</sup> as TNAU Fe citrate (T<sub>4</sub>) registered significantly highest post harvest soil available Fe (2.51 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 3). Soil application of iron citrates recorded significantly higher post harvest soil available Fe than soil application of FeSO<sub>4</sub>. Significantly lowest post harvest soil available Fe (1.68 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in control (NPK alone) which was comparable with foliar applied treatments. The results revealed that foliar application of iron fertilizers have not contributed to improve soil Fe status.

### 3.3. Economics of Fe formulations

Highest B:C ratio (2.55) and net income (Rs.75,485/-) were observed in the treatment foliar spray of 1.0 % TNAU Fe citrate (T<sub>8</sub>) (Table 3). This might be attributed to the higher yield recorded in this treatment.

**Table 3. Effect of Fe chelates on post harvest soil Fe and economics in maize**

Treatments	Soil Fe (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Net income (Rs.)	B : C ratio
T <sub>1</sub> - Control (NPK alone)	1.68	58013	2.30
T <sub>2</sub> - 9.5 kg Fe ha <sup>-1</sup> as FeSO <sub>4</sub>	2.01	65982	2.43
T <sub>3</sub> - 0.95 kg Fe ha <sup>-1</sup> as TNAU Fe citrate	2.24	61846	2.31
T <sub>4</sub> - 1.9 kg Fe ha <sup>-1</sup> as TNAU Fe citrate	2.51	67056	2.36
T <sub>5</sub> - 0.95 kg Fe ha <sup>-1</sup> as commercial Ferric citrate	2.06	55545	2.05
T <sub>6</sub> - 1.9 kg Fe ha <sup>-1</sup> as commercial Ferric citrate	2.18	55049	1.91
T <sub>7</sub> - Foliar spray of 1.0 % FeSO <sub>4</sub> *	1.71	64137	2.39
T <sub>8</sub> - Foliar spray of 1.0 % TNAU Fe citrate*	1.74	75485	2.55
T <sub>9</sub> - Foliar spray of 1.0 % commercial Ferric citrate *	1.72	58621	1.94
SEd	0.09		
CD (P=0.05)	0.19		

\*thrice on 30, 40 and 50 DAS

#### 4. CONCLUSION

When compared to soil application, foliar application of Fe fertilizers recorded higher yield which might be due to the calcareous nature of the experimental soil. The treatment foliar spray of 1% TNAU Fe citrate recorded highest yield attributes (cob length, no. of grains /cob, 100 grain weight), grain and stover yield, net income and B:C ratio. Foliar application of 1% of the TNAU Fe citrate thrice on 30, 40 and 50 days after sowing in maize crop registered 12.0 % increase in grain yield and 17.7% increase in net income over foliar application of 1% Ferrous sulphate+0.1% citric acid.

#### REFERENCES

1. Vempati RK, Loeppert RH. Chemistry and mineralogy of Fe-containing oxides and layer silicates in relation to plant available iron. J. Plant Nutr. ; 1988;11: 1557-1574.
2. Alloway BJ (ed.). Micronutrient Deficiencies in Global Crop Production. Springer, Netherlands. 2008.
3. Lindsay WL, Norvell WA. Development of a DTPA Soil Test for Zinc, Iron, Manganese, and Copper. Soil science society of America journal. 1978 ;42(3): 421-428.

4. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Statistical methods for agricultural workers.(Ed. 3). 1978.
5. Ziaeeian AH, Malakouti MJ. Effects of Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu fertilization on the yield and grain quality of wheat in the calcareous soils of Iran. Plant Nutrition. Food Security and Sustainability Agro-ecosystems. 2006;92: 840-841.
6. Abbas G, Khan MQ, Khan MJ, Hussain F, Hussain I. Effect of iron on the growth and yield contributing parameters of wheat (*Triticum Aestivum* L.) The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences. 2009; 19(3) : 135-139.
7. Guodong Liu, Edward Hanlon, Yuncong Li. Understanding and Applying Chelated Fertilizers Effectively Based on Soil pH. HS1208, one of a series of the Horticultural Sciences Department, UF/IFAS Extension. (<http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu>). 2015.
8. Wissuwa M, Ismail AM, Graham RD. Rice grain zinc concentrations as affected by genotype native soil-zinc availability and zinc fertilization. Plant and Soil. 2008;306:37-48.
9. Cakmak I. Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: Agronomic or genetic biofortification? Plant and Soil, 2008;302:1-17.
10. Kandoliya RU, Sakarvadiya HL, Kunjadia BB. Effect of zinc and iron application on leaf chlorophyll, carotenoid, grain yield and quality of wheat in calcareous soil of Saurashtra region. Int. J. Chem. Stud. 2018; 6(4):2092- 2095.
11. Sangeetha SS, Jawahar D, Chitdeshwari T, Babu C, Lakshmanan L. The Influence of Iron Chelates on Chlorophyll Content and Yield of Bajra Napier. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology. 2021; 40(6): 1-6.
12. Mansour AEM, Ahmed FF, Shaaban EA, Amera AF. The beneficial of using citric acid with some nutrients for improving productivity of Le- Conte pear trees. Res. J. Agric. & Biol. Sci. 2008;4(3):245-250.
13. Alvarez-Fernandez A, Juan Carlos Melgar, Javier Abadía, Anunciación Abadía. Effects of moderate and severe iron deficiency chlorosis on fruit yield, appearance and composition in pear (*Pyrus communis* L.) and peach (*Prunus persica* (L.) Batsch). Environ & Exp Botany. 2011;71:280–286.