

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_88220
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of minikit demonstrations in improvement of Bengal gram production in Anantapuramu district of Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-Objectives of the study have to be mentioned which are missed out in the study.- Importance of Bengal gram in daily consumption could have been emphasised to support the increased production.- At least 10 more latest references have to be added as only 6 are mentioned.- Why there is an increase in yield could have been explained with some facts.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Few grammatical mistakes are found which needs to be taken care of.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. Vijaya Lakshmi
Department, University & Country	PJTSAU, India