

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_88104
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Operating Model for the Design of Stirrer Arms of Slurries: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-What are terms TTP, TEET? Give full form-Avoid Referring same number [1] many places-Try to use names instead giving numbers while referring papers-Use of figures recommended wherever possible-Copied many things directly from some source it seems. Ex. Ref [22] [23] are of different styles-Check line spacing, font in entire manuscript-Meaning of intermig?..is it spell mistake? Check-Justification is missing- what are TETE, ETET, TEET and ETTE?-font size is not same- check for line spacing, grammar, font size and style, justification in entire manuscript-I think not followed journal standard template or instructions while preparing manuscript- references are according to journal standards	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Check following</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Fonts- Font size- Font colour- Line spacing- References	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pavana Kumara
Department, University & Country	Jain College of Engineering, India