

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_87774
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on impacts of energy factors on real estate values
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Add a Table in Conclusions section that compare the different types of energy, which studied in the paper and state their effects on real-state price based on numerical finding2. The manuscript is full of Typos error. Revise the manuscript and improve English and typos error.3. Cite references when in the context refer to other research papers. Like in Introduction Section, "Previous studies examine the link between energy and real estate price using exclusively scientific approaches".4. There are some French lines and their translation in manuscript. It is not necessary to write original French lines, just cite the reference in the end of translation line.5. There are some lines "Réessayer Nouvel essai... Nouvel essai ..." in the context. What are these lines and what is their relation to the research?	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Do not use ... except of etc. in the manuscript context.2. Heading numbering need to be re-arranged.3. One type of citation must be followed. Change the other citation to numeric form, Like, (Energy consumption in households, Eurostat, June 2021)	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kaebbeh Yaeghoobi
Department, University & Country	Manav Rachna International Institute of Research and Studies, India